

RESEARCH CENTRE  
Saclay - Île-de-France

IN PARTNERSHIP WITH:  
CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay

2021  
ACTIVITY REPORT

Project-Team  
TAU

## Tackling the Underspecified

IN COLLABORATION WITH: Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Sciences  
du Numérique

### DOMAIN

Applied Mathematics, Computation and  
Simulation

### THEME

Optimization, machine learning and  
statistical methods

# Contents

<b>Project-Team TAU</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>1 Team members, visitors, external collaborators</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2 Overall objectives</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1 Presentation . . . . .	4
<b>3 Research program</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1 Toward Good AI . . . . .	4
3.1.1 Causal modeling and biases . . . . .	5
3.1.2 Robustness of Learned Models . . . . .	5
3.2 Hybridizing numerical modeling and learning systems . . . . .	6
3.3 Learning to learn . . . . .	7
3.3.1 Auto-* . . . . .	8
3.3.2 Information theory: adjusting model complexity and data fitting . . . . .	9
3.3.3 Analyzing and Learning Complex Systems . . . . .	9
3.4 Organisation of Challenges . . . . .	10
<b>4 Application domains</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 Computational Social Sciences . . . . .	10
4.2 Energy Management . . . . .	11
4.3 Data-driven Numerical Modeling . . . . .	11
<b>5 Social and environmental responsibility</b>	<b>12</b>
5.1 Footprint of research activities . . . . .	12
5.2 Impact of research results . . . . .	12
<b>6 Highlights of the year</b>	<b>13</b>
6.1 Prestigious publications . . . . .	13
6.2 Selective Fundings . . . . .	13
<b>7 New software and platforms</b>	<b>13</b>
7.1 New software . . . . .	13
7.1.1 Codalab . . . . .	13
7.1.2 Cartolabe . . . . .	14
7.2 New platforms . . . . .	14
<b>8 New results</b>	<b>15</b>
8.1 Toward Good AI . . . . .	15
8.1.1 Causal Modeling . . . . .	15
8.1.2 Explainability . . . . .	15
8.1.3 Robustness of AI Systems . . . . .	16
8.2 Learning to Learn . . . . .	17
8.2.1 Auto-* . . . . .	17
8.2.2 Deep Learning: Practical and Theoretical Insights . . . . .	18
8.2.3 Analyzing and Learning Complex Systems . . . . .	19
8.3 Computational Social Sciences . . . . .	20
8.3.1 Labor Studies . . . . .	20
8.3.2 Health, food, and socio-demographic relationships . . . . .	21
8.3.3 Scientific Information System and Visual Querying . . . . .	21
8.3.4 Multi-Agent based simulation framework for social science . . . . .	22
8.4 Energy Management . . . . .	22
8.4.1 Power Grids Management . . . . .	22
8.4.2 Optimization of Local Grids and the Modeling of Worst-case Scenarios . . . . .	22
8.5 Data-driven Numerical Modelling . . . . .	23

8.5.1	Space Weather Forecasting	23
8.5.2	Genomic Data and Population Genetics	23
8.5.3	Privacy and synthetic data generation	24
8.5.4	Sampling molecular conformations	25
8.5.5	Earthquake occurrence prediction	26
8.5.6	Reduced order model correction	27
8.5.7	Active Learning for chaotic systems	27
8.5.8	Control of fluid dynamics with reinforcement learning	27
8.6	Challenges	28
<b>9</b>	<b>Bilateral contracts and grants with industry</b>	<b>30</b>
9.1	Bilateral contracts with industry	30
<b>10</b>	<b>Partnerships and cooperations</b>	<b>30</b>
10.1	European initiatives	30
10.1.1	FP7 and H2020 projects	30
10.2	National initiatives	31
10.2.1	ANR	31
10.2.2	Others	31
<b>11</b>	<b>Dissemination</b>	<b>32</b>
11.1	Promoting scientific activities	32
11.1.1	Scientific events: organisation	32
11.1.2	Scientific events: selection	32
11.1.3	Journal	32
11.1.4	Invited talks	33
11.1.5	Leadership within the scientific community	34
11.1.6	Scientific expertise	34
11.1.7	Research administration	35
11.2	Teaching - Supervision - Juries	35
11.2.1	Teaching	35
11.2.2	Supervision	36
11.2.3	Juries	37
11.3	Popularization	37
11.3.1	Internal or external Inria responsibilities	37
11.3.2	Articles and contents	37
11.3.3	Interventions	38
<b>12</b>	<b>Scientific production</b>	<b>38</b>
12.1	Major publications	38
12.2	Publications of the year	38
12.3	Cited publications	43

## Project-Team TAU

*Creation of the Project-Team: 2019 July 01*

### Keywords

#### Computer sciences and digital sciences

- A3.3.3. – Big data analysis
- A3.4. – Machine learning and statistics
- A3.5.2. – Recommendation systems
- A6.2. – Scientific computing, Numerical Analysis & Optimization
- A8.2. – Optimization
- A8.6. – Information theory
- A8.12. – Optimal transport
- A9.2. – Machine learning
- A9.3. – Signal analysis

#### Other research topics and application domains

- B1.1.4. – Genetics and genomics
- B4. – Energy
- B7.2.1. – Smart vehicles
- B9.1.2. – Serious games
- B9.5.3. – Physics
- B9.5.5. – Mechanics
- B9.5.6. – Data science
- B9.6.10. – Digital humanities

# 1 Team members, visitors, external collaborators

## Research Scientists

- Marc Schoenauer [Team leader, Inria, Senior Researcher, HDR]
- Michele Alessandro Bucci [Inria, Starting Research Position]
- Guillaume Charpiat [Inria, Researcher]
- Alessandro Ferreira Leite [Inria, Advanced Research Position, from Feb 2021]
- Cyril Furtlehner [Inria, Researcher, HDR]
- Cécile Germain [Univ Paris-Saclay, Emeritus, HDR]
- Flora Jay [CNRS, Researcher]
- Michele Sebag [CNRS, Senior Researcher, HDR]
- Paola Tubaro [CNRS, Senior Researcher, HDR]

## Faculty Members

- Philippe Caillou [Univ Paris-Saclay, Associate Professor]
- Aurélien Decelle [Univ Paris-Saclay, Associate Professor, on leave to Univ. Madrid]
- Isabelle Guyon [Univ. Paris-Saclay, Professor, Chaire Inria]
- Francois Landes [Univ Paris-Saclay, Associate Professor]

## Post-Doctoral Fellows

- Olivier Bui [Inria]
- Shuyu Dong [Inria, from Oct 2021]
- Saumya Jetley [Inria, until Sep 2021]
- Tamon Nakano [Inria]
- Shiyang Yan [Inria, from Nov 2021]

## PhD Students

- Eleonore Bartenlian [MESR, until Sep 2021]
- Victor Berger [Inria, until Oct 2021]
- Guillaume Bied [Univ Paris-Saclay]
- Leonard Blier [Facebook, CIFRE]
- Tony Bonnaire [Univ Paris-Saclay, Until Oct. 2021]
- Balthazar Donon [RTE, CIFRE]
- Victor Estrade [Inria, until May 2021]
- Loris Felardos - Saint Jean [Inria]
- Giancarlo Fissore [Univ Paris-Saclay]

- Julien Girard [CEA, until Oct 2021]
- Armand Lacombe [Inria]
- Wenzhuo Liu [IRT System X]
- Zhengying Liu [Inria, until Nov 2021]
- Nizam Makdoud [Thalès, CIFRE, until July 2021]
- Emmanuel Menier [IRT System X]
- Matthieu Nastorg [Inria]
- Herilalaina Rakotoarison [Inria]
- Theophile Sanchez [Univ Paris-Saclay]
- Vincenzo Schimmenti [CNRS]
- Nilo Schwencke [Univ Paris-Saclay]
- Haozhe Sun [Univ Paris-Saclay, from Feb 2021]
- Marion Ullmo [Univ Paris-Saclay, until Dec 2021]
- Manon Verbockhaven [Univ Paris-Saclay, Dec 2021]
- Elinor Wahal [ENS Paris-Saclay, until Nov 2021]
- Assia Wirth [Univ Paris-Saclay, from April 2021]

### **Technical Staff**

- Victor Alfonso Naya [Univ Paris-Saclay, Engineer]
- Adrien Pavao [Univ Paris-Saclay, Engineer]
- Sebastien Treguer [Inria, Engineer]

### **Interns and Apprentices**

- Ghania Benalioua [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Oct 2021]
- Remy Hosseinkhan [Inria, from May 2021 until Sep 2021]
- Jiangnan Huang [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Aug 2021]
- Pierre Jobic [ENS Paris-Saclay, until Apr 2021]
- Mandie Joulin [Inria, until Feb 2021]
- Alice Lacan [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Aug 2021]
- Wenhao Li [Inria, from May 2021 until Aug 2021]
- Daniel Montoya Vasquez [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Jul 2021]
- Rafael Munoz Gomez [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Aug 2021]
- Manh Nguyen [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Aug 2021]
- Francesco Pezzicoli [Inria, from Feb 2021 until Jul 2021]
- Maria Romero Goldar [Inria, from May 2021 until Oct 2021]

- Hugo Sonnery [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Aug 2021]
- Michael Vaccaro [Inria, until Feb 2021]
- Manon Verbockhaven [Inria, from May 2021 until Nov 2021]
- Mathurin Videau [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Sep 2021]
- Alex Westbrook [Inria, from Apr 2021 until Jul 2021]

### **Administrative Assistant**

- Maeva Jeannot [Inria]

### **External Collaborators**

- Jean Cury [Univ Paris-Saclay]
- Jeremy Guez [Univ de Provence]
- Mykola Liashuha [Univ Paris-Saclay, until Apr 2021]
- Thibault Monsel [Centrale-Supélec, from Jun 2021]
- Yann Ollivier [Facebook, HDR]
- Olivier Teytaud [Facebook, HDR]
- Burak Yelmen [Univ Paris-Saclay, from Sep 2021]

## **2 Overall objectives**

### **2.1 Presentation**

Since its creation in 2003, TAO activities had constantly but slowly evolved, as old problems were being solved, and new applications arose, bringing new fundamental issues to tackle. But recent abrupt progresses in Machine Learning (and in particular in Deep Learning) have greatly accelerated these changes also within the team. It so happened that this change of slope also coincided with some more practical changes in TAO ecosystem: following Inria 12-years rule, the team definitely ended in December 2016. The new team TAU (for **T**Ackling the **U**nderspecified) has been proposed, and formally created in July 2019. At the same time important staff changes took place, that also justify even sharper changes in the team focus. During the year 2018, the second year of this new era for the (remaining) members of the team, our research topics have now stabilized around a final version of the TAU project.

Following the dramatic changes in TAU staff during the years 2016-2017 (see [the 2017 activity report of the team](#) for the details), the research around continuous optimization has definitely faded out in TAU (while the research axis on hyperparameter tuning has focused on Machine Learning algorithms), the Energy application domain has slightly changed direction under Isabelle Guyon's supervision (Section [4.2](#)), after the completion of the work started by Olivier Teytaud, and a few new directions have emerged, around the robustness of ML systems (Section [3.1.2](#)). The other research topics have been continued, as described below.

## **3 Research program**

### **3.1 Toward Good AI**

As discussed by [\[156\]](#), and in the recent collaborative survey paper [\[71\]](#), the topic of ethical AI was non-existent until 2010, was laughed at in 2016, and became a hot topic in 2017 as the AI disruptivity with respect to the fabric of life (travel, education, entertainment, social networks, politics, to name a few)

became unavoidable [150], together with its expected impacts on the nature and amount of jobs. As of now, it seems that the risk of a new AI Winter might arise from legal<sup>1</sup> and societal<sup>2</sup> issues. While privacy is now recognized as a civil right in Europe, it is feared that the GAFAM, BATX and others can already capture a sufficient fraction of human preferences and their dynamics to achieve their commercial and other goals, and build a Brave New Big Brother (BNBB), a system that is openly beneficial to many, covertly nudging, and possibly dictatorial).

The ambition of TAU is to mitigate the BNBB risk along several intricated dimensions, and build i) causal and explainable models; ii) fair data and models; iii) provably robust models.

### 3.1.1 Causal modeling and biases

**Participants:** Isabelle Guyon, Michèle Sebag, Philippe Caillou, Paola Tubaro

The extraction of causal models, a long goal of AI [154, 130, 155], became a strategic issue as the usage of learned models gradually shifted from *prediction* to *prescription* in the last years. This evolution, following Auguste Comte's vision of science (*Savoir pour prévoir, afin de pouvoir*) indeed reflects the exuberant optimism about AI: Knowledge enables Prediction; Prediction enables Control. However, although predictive models can be based on correlations, prescriptions can only be based on causal models<sup>3</sup>.

Among the research applications concerned with causal modeling, predictive modeling or collaborative filtering at TAU are all projects described in section 4.1 (see also Section 3.4), studying the relationships between: i) the educational background of persons and the job openings (FUI project JobAgile and DataIA project Vadore); ii) the quality of life at work and the economic performance indicators of the enterprises (ISN Lidex project AmiQap) [131]; iii) the nutritional items bought by households (at the level of granularity of the barcode) and their health status, as approximated from their body-mass-index (IRS UPSaclay Nutriperso); iv) the actual offer of restaurants and their scores on online rating systems. In these projects, a wealth of data is available (though hardly sufficient for applications ii), iii and iv)) and there is little doubt that these data reflect the imbalances and biases of the world as is, ranging from gender to racial to economical prejudices. Preventing the learned models from perpetuating such biases is essential to deliver an AI endowed with common decency.

In some cases, the bias is known; for instance, the cohorts in the Nutriperso study are more well-off than the average French population, and the Kantar database includes explicit weights to address this bias through importance sampling. In other cases, the bias is only guessed; for instance, the companies for which Secafi data are available hardly correspond to a uniform sample as these data have been gathered upon the request of the company trade union.

### 3.1.2 Robustness of Learned Models

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

Due to their outstanding performances, deep neural networks and more generally machine learning-based decision making systems, referred to as MLs in the following, have been raising hopes in the recent years to achieve breakthroughs in critical systems, ranging from autonomous vehicles to defense. The main pitfall for such applications lies in the lack of guarantees for MLs robustness.

Specifically, MLs are used when the mainstream software design process does not apply, that is, when no formal specification of the target software behavior is available and/or when the system is embedded in an open unpredictable world. The extensive body of knowledge developed to deliver guarantees about mainstream software – ranging from formal verification, model checking and abstract interpretation to testing, simulation and monitoring – thus does not directly apply either. Another weakness of MLs

<sup>1</sup>For instance, the (fictitious) plea challenge proposed to law students in Oct. 2018 considered a chain reaction pileup occurred among autonomous and humanly operated vehicles on a highway.

<sup>2</sup>For instance related to information bubbles and nudge [116, 171].

<sup>3</sup>One can predict that it rains based on the presence of umbrellas in the street; but one cannot induce rainfall by going out with an umbrella. Likewise, the presence of books/tablets at home and the good scores of children at school are correlated; but offering books/tablets to all children might fail to improve their scores *per se*, if both good scores and books are explained by a so-called confounder variable, like the presence of adults versed in books/tablets at home.



regards their dependency to the amount and quality of the training data, as their performances are sensitive to slight perturbations of the data distribution. Such perturbations can occur naturally due to domain or concept drift (e.g. due to a change in light intensity or a scratch on a camera lens); they can also result from intentional malicious attacks, a.k.a adversarial examples [172].

These downsides, currently preventing the dissemination of MLs in safety-critical systems (SCS), call for a considerable amount of research, in order to understand when and to which extent an MLs can be certified to provide the desired level of guarantees.

Julien Girard's PhD (CEA scholarship), defended in Dec. 2020 [53], co-supervised by Guillaume Charpiat and Zakaria Chihani (CEA), is devoted to the extension of abstract interpretation to deep neural nets, and the formal characterization of the transition kernel from input to output space achieved by a DNN (robustness by design, coupled with formally assessing the coverage of the training set). This approach is tightly related to the inspection and opening of black-box models, aimed to characterize the patterns in the input instances responsible for a decision – another step toward explainability.

### 3.2 Hybridizing numerical modeling and learning systems

**Participants:** Michele Alessandro Bucci, Guillaume Charpiat, Cécile Germain, Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

In sciences and engineering, human knowledge is commonly expressed in closed form, through equations or mechanistic models characterizing how a natural or social phenomenon, or a physical device, will behave/evolve depending on its environment and external stimuli, under some assumptions and up to some approximations. The field of numerical engineering, and the simulators based on such mechanistic models, are at the core of most approaches to understand and analyze the world, from solid mechanics to computational fluid dynamics, from chemistry to molecular biology, from astronomy to population dynamics, from epidemiology and information propagation in social networks to economy and finance.

Most generally, numerical engineering supports the simulation, and when appropriate the optimization and control<sup>4</sup> of the phenomena under study, although several sources of discrepancy might adversely affect the results, ranging from the underlying assumptions and simplifying hypotheses in the models, to systematic experiment errors to statistical measurement errors (not to mention numerical issues). This knowledge and know-how are materialized in millions of lines of code, capitalizing the expertise of academic and industrial labs. These softwares have been steadily extended over decades, modeling new and more fine-grained effects through layered extensions, making them increasingly harder to maintain, extend and master. Another difficulty is that complex systems most often resort to hybrid (pluridisciplinary) models, as they involve many components interacting along several time and space scales, hampering their numerical simulation.

At the other extreme, machine learning offers the opportunity to model phenomena from scratch, using any available data gathered through experiments or simulations. Recent successes of machine learning in computer vision, natural language processing and games to name a few, have demonstrated the power of such agnostic approaches and their efficiency in terms of prediction [134], inverse problem solving [152], and sequential decision making [175, 97], despite their lack of any "semantic" understanding of the universe. Even before these successes, Anderson's claim was that *the data deluge [might make] the scientific method obsolete* [79], as if a reasonable option might be to throw away the existing equational or software bodies of knowledge, and let Machine Learning rediscover all models from scratch. Such a claim is hampered among others by the fact that not all domains offer a wealth of data, as any academic involved in an industrial collaboration around data has discovered.

Another approach is considered in TAU, investigating how existing mechanistic models and related simulators can be partnered with ML algorithms: i) to achieve the same goals with the same methods with a gain of accuracy or time; ii) to achieve new goals; iii) to achieve the same goals with new methods.

**Toward more robust numerical engineering:** In domains where satisfying mechanistic models and

<sup>4</sup>Note that the causal nature of mechanistic models is established from prior knowledge and experimentations.

simulators are available, ML can contribute to improve their accuracy or usability. A first direction is to refine or extend the models and simulators to better fit the empirical evidence. The goal is to finely account for the different biases and uncertainties attached to the available knowledge and data, distinguishing the different types of *known unknowns*. Such *known unknowns* include the model hyper-parameters (coefficients), the systematic errors due to e.g., experiment imperfections, and the statistical errors due to e.g., measurement errors. A second approach is based on learning a surrogate model for the phenomenon under study that incorporate domain knowledge from the mechanistic model (or its simulation). See Section 8.5 for case studies.

A related direction, typically when considering black-box simulators, aims to learn a model of the error, or equivalently, a post-processor of the software. The discrepancy between simulated and empirical results, referred to as *reality gap* [139], can be tackled in terms of domain adaptation [85, 115]. Specifically, the source domain here corresponds to the simulated phenomenon, offering a wealth of inexpensive data, and the target domain corresponds to the actual phenomenon, with rare and expensive data; the goal is to devise accurate target models using the source data and models.

**Extending numerical engineering:** ML, using both experimental and numerical data, can also be used to tackle new goals, that are beyond the current state-of-the-art of standard approaches. Inverse problems are such goals, identifying the parameters or the initial conditions of phenomena for which the model is not differentiable, or amenable to the adjoint state method.

A slightly different kind of inverse problem is that of recovering the ground truth when only noisy data is available. This problem can be formulated as a search for the simplest model explaining the data. The question then becomes to formulate and efficiently exploit such a simplicity criterion.

Another goal can be to model the distribution of given quantiles for some system: The challenge is to exploit available data to train a generative model, aimed at sampling the target quantiles.

Examples tackled in TAU are detailed in Section 8.5. Note that the "Cracking the Glass Problem", described in Section 8.2.3 is yet another instance of a similar problem.

**Data-driven numerical engineering:** Finally, ML can also be used to sidestep numerical engineering limitations in terms of scalability, or to build a simulator emulating the resolution of the (unknown) mechanistic model from data, or to revisit the formal background.

When the mechanistic model is known and sufficiently accurate, it can be used to train a deep network on an arbitrary set of (space,time) samples, resulting in a meshless numerical approximation of the model [167], supporting by construction *differentiable programming* [136].

When no mechanistic model is sufficiently efficient, the model must be identified from the data only. Genetic programming has been used to identify systems of ODEs [164], through the identification of invariant quantities from data, as well as for the direct identification of control commands of nonlinear complex systems, including some chaotic systems [104]. Another recent approach uses two deep neural networks, one for the state of the system, the other for the equation itself [157]. The critical issues for both approaches include the scalability, and the explainability of the resulting models. Such line of research will benefit from TAU unique mixed expertise in Genetic Programming and Deep Learning.

Finally, in the realm of signal processing (SP), the question is whether and how deep networks can be used to revisit mainstream feature extraction based on Fourier decomposition, wavelet and scattering transforms [91]. E. Bartenlian's PhD (started Oct. 2018), co-supervised by M. Sebag and F. Pascal (Centrale-Supélec), focusing on musical audio-to-score translation [166], inspects the effects of supervised training, taking advantage from the fact that convolution masks can be initialized and analyzed in terms of frequency.

### 3.3 Learning to learn

According to Ali Rahimi's test of times award speech at NIPS 17, the current ML algorithms *have become a form of alchemy*. Competitive testing and empirical breakthroughs gradually become mandatory for a contribution to be acknowledged; an increasing part of the community adopts trials and errors as main scientific methodology, and theory is lagging behind practice. This style of progress is typical of

technological and engineering revolutions for some; others ask for consolidated and well-understood theoretical advances, saving the time wasted in trying to build upon hardly reproducible results.

Basically, while practical achievements have often passed the expectations, there exist caveats along three dimensions. Firstly, excellent performances do not imply that the model has captured what was to learn, as shown by the phenomenon of adversarial examples. Following Ian Goodfellow, some well-performing models might be compared to *Clever Hans*, the horse that was able to solve mathematical exercises using non verbal cues from its teacher [128]; it is the purpose of Pillar I. to alleviate the *Clever Hans* trap (section 3.1).

Secondly, some major advances, e.g. related to the celebrated adversarial learning [121, 115], establish proofs of concept more than a sound methodology, where the reproducibility is limited due to i) the computational power required for training (often beyond reach of academic labs); ii) the numerical instabilities (witnessed as random seeds happen to be found in the codes); iii) the insufficiently documented experimental settings. What works, why and when is still a matter of speculation, although better understanding the limitations of the current state of the art is acknowledged to be a priority. After Ali Rahimi again, *simple experiments, simple theorems are the building blocks that help us understand more complicated systems*. Along this line, [147] propose toy examples to demonstrate and understand the defaults of convergence of gradient descent adversarial learning.

Thirdly, and most importantly, the reported achievements rely on carefully tuned learning architectures and hyper-parameters. The sensitivity of the results to the selection and calibration of algorithms has been identified since the end 80s as a key ML bottleneck, and the field of automatic algorithm selection and calibration, referred to as AutoML or Auto-★ in the following, is at the ML forefront.

TAU aims to contribute to the ML evolution toward a more mature stage along three dimensions. In the short term, the research done in Auto-★ will be pursued (section 3.3.1). In the medium term, an information theoretic perspective will be adopted to capture the data structure and to calibrate the learning algorithm *depending on the nature and amount of the available data* (section 3.3.2). In the longer term, our goal is to leverage the methodologies forged in statistical physics to understand and control the trajectories of complex learning systems (section 3.3.3).

### 3.3.1 Auto-★

**Participants:** Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

The so-called Auto-★ task, concerned with selecting a (quasi) optimal algorithm and its hyper-parameters depending on the problem instance at hand, remained a key issue in ML for the last three decades [87], as well as in optimization at large [127], including combinatorial optimization and constraint satisfaction [133, 120] and continuous optimization [82]. This issue, tackled by several European projects along the decades, governs the knowledge transfer to industry, due to the shortage of data scientists. It becomes even more crucial as models are more complex and their training requires more computational resources. This has motivated several international challenges devoted to Auto-ML [126] (see also Section 3.4), including the AutoDL challenge series [140] launched in 2019<sup>5</sup> (see also Section 8.6).

Several approaches have been used to tackle Auto-★ in the literature, and TAU has been particularly active in several of them. Meta-learning aims to build a surrogate performance model, estimating the performance of an algorithm configuration on *any* problem instance characterized from its meta-feature values [161, 120, 83, 82, 119]. Collaborative filtering, considering that a problem instance "likes better" an algorithm configuration yielding a better performance, learns to recommend good algorithms to problem instances [169, 148]. Bayesian optimization proceeds by alternatively building a surrogate model of algorithm performances on *the* problem instance at hand, and tackling it [112]. This last approach currently is the prominent one; as shown in [148], the meta-features developed for AutoML are hardly relevant, hampering both meta-learning and collaborative filtering. The design of better features is another long-term research direction, in which TAU has recently been [103], and still is very active. more recent approach used in TAU [158] extends the Bayesian Optimization approach with a Multi-Armed Bandit algorithm to generate the full Machine Learning pipeline, competing with the famed AutoSKLearn [112] (see Section 8.2.1).

<sup>5</sup><https://autodl.chalearn.org/neurips2019>

### 3.3.2 Information theory: adjusting model complexity and data fitting

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

In the 60s, Kolmogorov and Solomonoff provided a well-grounded theory for building (probabilistic) models best explaining the available data [162, 122], that is, the shortest programs able to generate these data. Such programs can then be used to generate further data or to answer specific questions (interpreted as missing values in the data). Deep learning, from this viewpoint, efficiently explores a space of computation graphs, described from its hyperparameters (network structure) and parameters (weights). Network training amounts to optimizing these parameters, namely, navigating the space of computational graphs to find a network, as simple as possible, that explain the past observations well.

This vision is at the core of variational auto-encoders [132], directly optimizing a bound on the Kolmogorov complexity of the dataset. More generally variational methods provide quantitative criteria to identify superfluous elements (edges, units) in a neural network, that can potentially be used for structural optimization of the network (Leonard Blier's PhD, started Oct. 2018).

The same principles apply to unsupervised learning, aimed to find the maximum amount of structure hidden in the data, quantified using this information-theoretic criterion.

The known invariances in the data can be exploited to guide the model design (e.g. as translation invariance leads to convolutional structures, or LSTM is shown to enforce the invariance to time affine transformations of the data sequence [173]). Scattering transforms exploit similar principles [91]. A general theory of how to detect *unknown* invariances in the data, however, is currently lacking.

The view of information theory and Kolmogorov complexity suggests that key program operations (composition, recursivity, use of predefined routines) should intervene when searching for a good computation graph. One possible framework for exploring the space of computation graphs with such operations is that of Genetic Programming. It is interesting to see that evolutionary computation appeared in the last two years among the best candidates to explore the space of deep learning structures [160, 137]. Other approaches might proceed by combining simple models into more powerful ones, e.g. using "Context Tree Weighting" [179] or switch distributions [106]. Another option is to formulate neural architecture design as a reinforcement learning problem [84]; the value of the building blocks (predefined routines) might be defined using e.g., Monte-Carlo Tree Search. A key difficulty is the computational cost of retraining neural nets from scratch upon modifying their architecture; an option might be to use neutral initializations to support warm-restart.

### 3.3.3 Analyzing and Learning Complex Systems

**Participants:** Cyril Furtlehner, Aurélien Decelle, François Landes, Michèle Sebag

Methods and criteria from statistical physics have been widely used in ML. In early days, the capacity of Hopfield networks (associative memories defined by the attractors of an energy function) was investigated by using the replica formalism [77]. Restricted Boltzmann machines likewise define a generative model built upon an energy function trained from the data. Along the same lines, Variational Auto-Encoders can be interpreted as systems relating the free energy of the distribution, the information about the data and the entropy (the degree of ignorance about the micro-states of the system) [178]. A key promise of the statistical physics perspective and the Bayesian view of deep learning is to harness the tremendous growth of the model size (billions of weights in recent machine translation networks), and make them sustainable through e.g. posterior drop-out [149], weight quantization and probabilistic binary networks [144]. Such "informational cooling" of a trained deep network can reduce its size by several orders of magnitude while preserving its performance.

Statistical physics is among the key expertises of TAU, originally only represented by Cyril Furtlehner, later strengthened by Aurélien Decelle's and François Landes' arrivals in 2014 and 2018. On-going studies are conducted along several directions.

Generative models are most often expressed in terms of a Gibbs distributions  $P[S] = \exp(-E[S])$ , where energy  $E$  involves a sum of building blocks, modelling the interactions among variables. This formalization makes it natural to use mean-field methods of statistical physics and associated inference algorithms to both train and exploit such models. The difficulty is to find a good trade-off between the

richness of the structure and the efficiency of mean-field approaches. One direction of research pursued in TAU, [113] in the context of traffic forecasting, is to account for the presence of cycles in the interaction graph, to adapt inference algorithms to such graphs with cycles, while constraining graphs to remain compatible with mean-field inference.

Another direction, explored in TAO/TAU in the recent years, is based on the definition and exploitation of self-consistency properties, enforcing principled divide-and-conquer resolutions. In the particular case of the message-passing Affinity Propagation algorithm for instance [182], self-consistency imposes the invariance of the solution when handled at different scales, thus enabling to characterize the critical value of the penalty and other hyper-parameters in closed form (in the case of simple data distributions) or empirically otherwise [114].

A more recent research direction examines the quantity of information in a (deep) neural net along the random matrix theory framework [94]. It is addressed in Giancarlo Fissore's PhD, and is detailed in Section 8.2.3.

Finally, we note the recent surge in using ML to address fundamental physics problems: from turbulence to high-energy physics and soft matter (with amorphous materials at its core) [72] or astro-physics/cosmology as well. TAU's dual expertise in Deep Networks and in statistical physics places it in an ideal position to significantly contribute to this domain and shape the methods that will be used by the physics community in the future. In that direction, the PhD thesis of Marion Ullmo and Tony Bonnaire applying statistical method coming either from deep learning or statistical physics to the task of inferring the structure of the cosmic web has show great succes with recents results discussed in Section 8.2.3. François Landes' recent arrival in the team makes TAU a unique place for such interdisciplinary research, thanks to his collaborators from the *Simons Collaboration Cracking the Glass Problem* (gathering 13 statistical physics teams at the international level). This project is detailed in Section 8.2.3.

Independently, François Landes is actively collaborating with statistical physicists (Alberto Rosso, LPTMS, Univ. Paris-Saclay) and physcists at the frontier with geophysics (Eugenio Lippiello, Second Univ. of Naples) [138, 73]. A CNRS grant (80Prime) finances a shared PhD (Vincenzo Schimmenti), at the frontier between seismicity and ML (Alberto Rosso, Marc Schoenauer and François Landes).

### 3.4 Organisation of Challenges

**Participants:** Cécile Germain, Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

Challenges have been an important drive for Machine Learning research for many years, and TAO members have played important roles in the organization of many such challenges: Michèle Sebag was head of the challenge programme in the *Pascal European Network of Excellence* (2005-2013); Isabelle Guyon, as mentioned, was the PI of many challenges ranging from causation challenges [124], to AutoML [125]. The *Higgs challenge* [76], most attended ever Kaggle challenge, was jointly organized by TAO (C. Germain), LAL-IN2P3 (D. Rousseau and B. Kegl) and I. Guyon (not yet at TAO), in collaboration with CERN and Imperial College.

TAU was also particularly implicated with the ChaLearn Looking At People (LAP) challenge series in Computer Vision, in collaboration with the University of Barcelona [110] including the *Job Candidate Screening Coopetition* [107]; the *Real Versus Fake Expressed Emotion Challenge* (ICCV 2017) [176]; the *Large-scale Continuous Gesture Recognition Challenge* (ICCV 2017) [176]; the *Large-scale Isolated Gesture Recognition Challenge* (ICCV 2017) [176].

Other challenges have been organized in 2020, or are planned for the near future, detailed in Section 8.6. In particular, many of them now run on the Codalab platform, managed by Isabelle Guyon and maintained at LISN.

## 4 Application domains

### 4.1 Computational Social Sciences

**Participants:** Philippe Caillou, Isabelle Guyon, Michèle Sebag, Paola Tubaro

**Collaboration:** Jean-Pierre Nadal (EHESS); Marco Cuturi, Bruno Crépon (ENSAE); Thierry Weil (Mines); Jean-Luc Bazet (RITM)

Computational Social Sciences (CSS) studies social and economic phenomena, ranging from technological innovation to politics, from media to social networks, from human resources to education, from inequalities to health. It combines perspectives from different scientific disciplines, building upon the tradition of computer simulation and modeling of complex social systems [117] on the one hand, and data science on the other hand, fueled by the capacity to collect and analyze massive amounts of digital data.

The emerging field of CSS raises formidable challenges along three dimensions. Firstly, the definition of the research questions, the formulation of hypotheses and the validation of the results require a tight pluridisciplinary interaction and dialogue between researchers from different backgrounds. Secondly, the development of CSS is a touchstone for ethical AI. On the one hand, CSS gains ground in major, data-rich private companies; on the other hand, public researchers around the world are engaging in an effort to use it for the benefit of society as a whole [135]. The key technical difficulties related to data and model biases, and to self-fulfilling prophecies have been discussed in section 3.1. Thirdly, CSS does not only regard scientists: it is essential that the civil society participate in the science of society [168].

TAO was involved in CSS for the last five years, and its activities have been strengthened thanks to P. Tubaro's and I. Guyon's expertises respectively in sociology and economics, and in causal modeling. Details are given in Section 8.3.

## 4.2 Energy Management

**Participants:** Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

**Collaboration:** Rémy Clément, Antoine Marot, Patrick Panciatici (RTE), Vincent Renault (Artelys)

Energy Management has been an application domain of choice for TAO since the end 2000s, with main partners SME Artelys (METIS Ilab INRIA; ADEME project POST; on-going ADEME project NEXT), RTE (See.4C European challenge; two CIFRE PhDs), and, since Oct. 2019, IFPEN. The goals concern i) optimal planning over several spatio-temporal scales, from investments on continental Europe/North Africa grid at the decade scale (POST), to daily planning of local or regional power networks (NEXT); ii) monitoring and control of the French grid enforcing the prevention of power breaks (RTE); iii) improvement of house-made numerical methods using data-intense learning in all aspects of IFPEN activities (as described in Section 3.2).

The daily maintainance of power grids requires the building of approximate predictive models on the top of any given network topology. Deep Networks are natural candidates for such modelling, considering the size of the French grid (~ 10000 nodes), but the representation of the topology is a challenge when, e.g. the RTE goal is to quickly ensure the "n-1" security constraint (the network should remain safe even if any of the 10000 nodes fails). Existing simulators are too slow to be used in real time, and the size of actual grids makes it intractable to train surrogate models for all possible (n-1) topologies (see Section 8.4 for more details).

Furthermore, predictive models of local grids are based on the estimated consumption of end-customers: Linky meters only provide coarse grain information due to privacy issues, and very few samples of fine-grained consumption are available (from volunteer customers). A first task is to transfer knowledge from small data to the whole domain of application. A second task is to directly predict the peaks of consumption based on the user cluster profiles and their representativity (see Section 8.4.2).

## 4.3 Data-driven Numerical Modeling

**Participants:** Michele Alessandro Bucci, Guillaume Charpiat, Cécile Germain, Isabelle Guyon, Flora Jay, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

As said (section 3.2), in domains where both first principle-based models and equations, and empirical or simulated data are available, their combined usage can support more accurate modelling and prediction, and when appropriate, optimization, control and design. This section describes such applications, with the goal of improving the time-to-design chain through fast interactions between the simulation, optimization, control and design stages. The expected advances regard: i) the quality

of the models or simulators (through data assimilation, e.g. coupling first principles and data, or repairing/extending closed-form models); ii) the exploitation of data derived from different distributions and/or related phenomena; and, most interestingly, iii) the task of optimal design and the assessment of the resulting designs.

The proposed approaches are based on generative and adversarial modelling [132, 121], extending both the generator and the discriminator modules to take advantage of the domain knowledge.

A first challenge regards the design of the model space, and the architecture used to enforce the known domain properties (symmetries, invariance operators, temporal structures). When appropriate, data from different distributions (e.g. simulated vs real-world data) will be reconciled, for instance taking inspiration from real-valued non-volume preserving transformations [100] in order to preserve the natural interpretation.

Another challenge regards the validation of the models and solutions of the optimal design problems. The more flexible the models, the more intensive the validation must be, as reminded by Leon Bottou. Along this way, generative models will be used to support the design of "what if" scenarios, to enhance anomaly detection and monitoring via refined likelihood criteria.

In the application case of dynamical systems such as fluid mechanics, the goal of incorporating machine learning into classical simulators is to speed up the simulations. Many possible tracks are possible for this; for instance one can search to provide better initialization heuristics to solvers (which make sure that physical constraints are satisfied, and which are responsible of most of the computational complexity of simulations) at each time step; one can also aim at predicting directly the state at  $t + 100$ , for instance, or at learning a representation space where the dynamics are linear (Koopman - von Neumann). The topic is very active in the deep learning community. To guarantee the quality of the predictions, concepts such as Lyapunov coefficients (which express the speed at which simulated trajectories diverge from the true ones) can provide a suitable theoretical framework.

## 5 Social and environmental responsibility

### 5.1 Footprint of research activities

Thanks to the pandemic, the impact of our activities regarding carbon footprint have decreased a lot, from our daily commute that have almost completely disappeared as we all switched to tele-working to the transformation of all conferences and workshops into virtual events. We all miss the informal discussions that took place during coffee breaks in the lab as well as during conferences. But when the pandemic vanishes, after the first moments of joy when actually meeting again physically with our colleagues, we will have to think of a new model for the way we work: we were indeed discussing before the pandemic about how to reduce the carbon footprint of the conferences, but now we know that there exist solutions, even though not perfect.

### 5.2 Impact of research results

All our work on Energy (see Sections 4.2) is ultimately targeted toward optimizing the distribution of electricity, be it in planning the investments in the power network by more accurate previsions of user consumption, or helping the operators of RTE to maintain the French Grid in optimal conditions.

At the outbreak of the covid pandemic in Europe, François Landes got involved in the ICUBAM projet, which aimed at easing the practitioners' job, by providing them with real-time (ICU) beds availability in nearby hospitals. The data was fed by doctors themselves, and they could in return easily picture the ongoing (un)availability of beds in participating hospitals, thus facilitating the task of patient transfer [75].

## 6 Highlights of the year

### 6.1 Prestigious publications

- Spotlight paper at ICLR (top 5% submissions) [38]  
Herilalaina Rakotoarison, Louisot Milijaona, Andry Rasoanaivo, Michèle Sebag, Marc Schoenauer  
Learning Meta-features for AutoML.  
International Conference on Learning Representations , 2022 (already [visible on OpenReview](#))

### 6.2 Selective Fundings

TAU secured the following funded research projects (see Section 10 for more details):

- Bilateral collaboration with **Fujitsu**, "Causal inference in high dimension", Marc Schoenauer and Michèle Sebag coordinators.
- ANR project **RoDAPoG**, "Robust Deep learning for Artificial genomics and Population Genetics", Flora Jay, coordinator.
- ANR project **SPEED** "Simulating Physical PDEs Efficiently with Deep Learning", Lionel Mathelin (LIMSI) coordinator.
- Inria Challenge **OceanAI**, "AI, Data, Models for a Blue Economy", Nayat Sanchez Pi (Inria Chile) coordinator.

## 7 New software and platforms

### 7.1 New software

#### 7.1.1 Codalab

**Keywords:** Benchmarking, Competition

**Functional Description:** Challenges in machine learning and data science are competitions running over several weeks or months to resolve problems using provided datasets or simulated environments. Challenges can be thought of as crowdsourcing, benchmarking, and communication tools. They have been used for decades to test and compare competing solutions in machine learning in a fair and controlled way, to eliminate "inventor-evaluator" bias, and to stimulate the scientific community while promoting reproducible science. See our news: <https://codalab.lisn.upsaclay.fr/highlights>.

The new Codalab infrastructure deployed in 2021 includes vast amounts of storage over a distributed Minio (4 physical servers, each with 12 disks of 16 TB) spread over 2 buildings for robustness, and 20 GPU workers in the backend, thanks for the sponsorship of région Ile-de-France, ANR, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, INRIA, and ChaLearn, to support 50,000 users, organizing or participating each year to hundreds of competitions.

Some of the areas in which Codalab is used include Computer vision and medical image analysis, natural language processing, time series prediction, causality, and automatic machine learning. Codalab has been selected by the Région Ile de France to organize industry-scale challenges.

TAU continues expanding Codalab to accommodate new needs, including teaching. Check recent student projects: <https://saclay.chalearn.org/>

#### News of the Year:

**L2RPN** The Learning to Run a Power Network competition track in collaboration with RTE France continues. The ICAPS 2021 competition allowed us to go one step further towards making the grid control with reinforcement learning more realistic, allowing adversarial attacks. A new open-source framework Grid2Operate was released.

**AutoDL** The Automated Deep Learning (AutoDL) challenge series evolved in the direction of meta learning (<https://metalearning.chalearn.org/>). We organized a competition for NwuriPS 2021



sponsored by Google and Microsoft. The results, which will appear in PMLR, indicate that few shot learning (5 shots, 5 classes) is now within reach of the state of the art for small image object recognition, but heavily relies on pre-trained backbone networks, trained on large image datasets.

**Industry challenges** The first Ile de France industry challenge was organized on Codalab, in collaboration with Dassault aviation and the results were presented at ICMLA 2021. The goal was to predict sensor data indicating constraints on the fuselage. Surprisingly conventional methods based on ensembles of decision trees dominated this task and outperformed deep learning methods.

**World use of the platform** In 2021, on average, 50 competitions per month were organized on Codalab by researchers from all over the world. Codalab is also used in education to organize code submission homework.

**Codabench** December 2021: Codabench (beta) is announced at NeurIPS 2021, see <https://www.codabench.org/>.

**URL:** <http://competitions.codalab.org>

**Contact:** Isabelle Guyon

### 7.1.2 Cartolabe

**Name:** Cartolabe

**Keyword:** Information visualization

**Functional Description:** The goal of Cartolabe is to build a visual map representing the scientific activity of an institution/university/domain from published articles and reports. Using the HAL Database, Cartolabe provides the user with a map of the thematics, authors and articles. ML techniques are used for dimensionality reduction, cluster and topics identification, visualisation techniques are used for a scalable 2D representation of the results.

Cartolabe has in particular been applied to the Grand Debat dataset (3M individual propositions from french Citizen, see <https://cartolabe.fr/map/debat>). The results were used to test both the scaling capabilities of Cartolabe and its flexibility to non-scientific and non-english corpuses. We also Added sub-map capabilities to display the result of a year/lab/word filtering as an online generated heatmap with only the filtered points to facilitate the exploration. Cartolabe has also been applied in 2020 to the COVID-19 kaggle publication dataset (Cartolabe-COVID project) to explore these publications.

**URL:** <http://www.cartolabe.fr/>

**Publication:** hal-02499006

**Contact:** Philippe Caillou

**Participants:** Philippe Caillou, Jean Daniel Fekete, Michèle Sebag, Anne-Catherine Letournel

**Partners:** LRI - Laboratoire de Recherche en Informatique, CNRS

## 7.2 New platforms

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat, Isabelle Guyon, FLora Jay, Anne-Catherine Letournel, Adrien Pavao, Théophile Sanchez, Tran Tuan

- **CODALAB:** In 2021, Codalab's growth to more than 50 competitions per month has required us to upgrade the software infrastructure, add new servers, and storage space. The new Codalab infrastructure is now stable. We have migrated the storage over a distributed Minio (4 physical servers, each with 12 disks of 16 TB) spread over 2 buildings for robustness, and added 10 more GPUs to the existing 10 previous ones in the backend. A lot of horsepower to support Industry-strength challenge. This was made possible with the sponsorship of région Ile-de-France, ANR, Université Paris-Saclay, CNRS, INRIA, and ChaLearn.

- In 2021, we also rolled out a new version of Codalab in Python 3 with upgraded libraries and better admin features.
- **DNA-DNA:** Deep Neural Architectures for DNA. We are releasing an open-source software platform dedicated to deep learning for population genetics [67].

## 8 New results

### 8.1 Toward Good AI

#### 8.1.1 Causal Modeling

**Participants:** Philippe Caillou, Isabelle Guyon, Michèle Sebag

**PhDs:** Armand Lacombe

**Post-doc:** Ksenia Gasnikova, Saumya Jetley

**Collaboration:** Olivier Allais (INRAE); Jean-Pierre Nadal & Annick Vignes (CAMS, EHESS); David Lopez-Paz (Facebook).

The causal modelling activity has been continued in 2020 along two directions. The first one concerns the impact of nutrition on health. This study started in the context of the *Initiative de Recherche Stratégique* Nutriperso (2016-2018), headed by Louis-George Soler, INRAE, based on the wealth of data provided by the Kantar panel (170,000 bought products by 10,000 households over Year 2014). The challenges are manifold. Firstly, the number of potential causes is in the thousands, thus larger by an order of magnitude compared to most causal modelling studies. Secondly, a "same" product (e.g. "pizza") has vastly different impacts on health, depending on its composition and (hyper)processing. Lastly, the data is ridden with hidden confounders (e.g. with no information about smoking or sport habits).

On the one hand, the famed *Deconfounder approach* [177, 95, 151, 129] has been investigated and extended to account for the known presence of hidden confounders, as follows. A probabilistic model of the nutritional products based on Latent Dirichlet Allocation has been used, the factors of which are used as substitute confounders (SC) to block the effects of the confounders. On the other hand, the innovative notion of "micro-interventions" has been defined, operating on the basket of products associated to a household to e.g. replace the products with organic products; or increase the amount of alcohol ingested. The average treatment effect of the micro-interventions has been assessed conditionally to each SC, after correction for the biases related to the socio-economic description of the households [33].

Finally, causality is also at the core of TAU participation in the INRIA Challenge *OceanIA*, that started in 2021 [47], and will analyze the ocean data fetched by the Tara expedition. Other motivating applications for causal modeling are described in section 4.1.

#### 8.1.2 Explainability

**Participants:** Isabelle Guyon, François Landes, Alessandro Leite, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

**PhD:** Roman Bresson

**Collaboration:** MyDataModels; Thalès

Causal modeling is one particular method to tackle explainability, and TAU has been involved in other initiatives toward explainable AI systems. Following the LAP (Looking At People) challenges, Isabelle Guyon and co-organizers have edited a book [159] that presents a snapshot of explainable and interpretable models in the context of computer vision and machine learning. Along the same line, they propose an introduction and a complete survey of the state-of-the-art of the explainability and interpretability mechanisms in the context of first impressions analysis [108]. Other directions in this line of research include explaining missing data, with applications in computer vision [111].

Another direction is investigated in Roman Bresson's PhD, co-supervised with Johanne Cohen (LISN-GALAC), Christophe Labreuche (Thalès) and Eyke Hullermeier (U. Paderborn). The transcription of hierarchical Choquet models (HCI) into a neural architecture enforcing by design the HCI constraints of monotonicity and additivity has been proposed, supporting the end-to-end learning of the HCI with a known hierarchy [74]. A patent (Bresson-Labreuche-Sebag-Cohen) has been filed by Thalès. The

approach has been extended to achieve the automatic identification of the hierarchy as well; the unicity of the structure under canonic assumptions is being established [29].

The team is also involved in the proposal for the IPL HyAIAI (Hybrid Approaches for Interpretable AI), coordinated by the LACODAM team (Rennes) dedicated to the design of hybrid approaches that combine state of the art numeric models (e.g., deep neural networks) with explainable symbolic models, in order to be able to integrate high level (domain) constraints in ML models, to give model designers information on ill-performing parts of the model, to provide understandable explanations on its results. On-going collaboration with the Multispeech team in Nancy is concerned with the use of background knowledge to improve the performances of foundational models in NLP [40].

A completely original approach to DNN explainability might arise from the study of structural glasses (8.2.3), with a parallel to Graph Neural Networks (GNNs), that could become an excellent non-trivial example for developing explainability protocols.

Genetic Programming [81] is an Evolutionary Computing technique that evolves models as analytical expressions (Boolean formulae, functions, LISP-like code), that are hopefully easier to understand than black-box NNs with hundreds of thousands of weights. This idea has been picked up by the European FET project **TRUST-AI** (Transparent, Reliable and Unbiased Smart Tool for AI) that started in October 2020. Alessandro Leite joined the project (and the TAU team) in February 2021 on an ARP position. He supervised Mathurin Videau's Master thesis dealing with explainable reinforcement learning using GP [70]. In the mean time, Marc Schoenauer is working together with the startup company *MyDataModels* whose lighthouse product is based on an original variant of Genetic Programming [28]. Both approach are promising just-started or on-going works. Another marginal work on the Evolutionary Computation side: the revival of the Evolving Objects platform [31].

### 8.1.3 Robustness of AI Systems

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

**PhDs:** Julien Girard, Roman Bresson

**Collaboration:** Zakaria Chihani (CEA); Johanne Cohen (LISN-GALAC) and Christophe Labreuche (Thalès); Eyke Hullermeier (U. Paderborn, Germany).

As said (Section 3.1.2), TAU is considering two directions of research related to the certification of MLs.

The first direction considers the formal validation of Neural Networks. The topic of provable deep neural network robustness has raised considerable interest in recent years. Most research in the literature has focused on adversarial robustness, which studies the robustness of perceptive models in the neighbourhood of particular samples. However, other works have proved global properties of smaller neural networks. Yet, formally verifying perception remains uncharted. This is due notably to the lack of relevant properties to verify, as the distribution of possible inputs cannot be formally specified. With Julien Girard-Satabin's PhD thesis, which was defended this year, we had proposed to take advantage of the simulators often used either to train machine learning models or to check them with statistical tests, a growing trend in industry. Our formulation [118] allowed us to formally express and verify safety properties on perception units, covering all cases that could ever be generated by the simulator, to the difference of statistical tests which cover only seen examples.

To go further and alleviate the computational complexity of formally validating a neural network (naive complexity: exponential in the number of neurons), we explore different strategies to apply solvers to sub-problems that are much simpler. We rely on the fact that ReLU networks (the most common type of modern networks) are actually piecewise-linear, yielding extremely simple problems on each piece [41, 65]. All results obtained are presented in detail in Julien's PhD [53].

The second direction, already mentioned in the section devoted to explainability, concerns the indentifiability of the neural net implementing a hierarchical Choquet integral, in the large sample limit.

Another direction, more remotely related to the robustness of AI systems, is concerned with privacy. Our primary motivation was to contribute to the understanding of the pandemic, with no former collaboration with hospitals, and therefore, no access to real data. An approach was developed to achieve *excessively private learning* through a differential-privacy compliant access to the only marginals of the data [42].

## 8.2 Learning to Learn

### 8.2.1 Auto-\*

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat, Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

**PhDs:** Léonard Blier, Guillaume Doquet, Zhengying Liu, Adrien Pavao, Herilalaina Rakotoarison, Hoazhe Sun, Manon Verbockhaven, Romain Egele

**Collaborations:** Vincent Renault (SME Artelys); Yann Ollivier (Facebook); Wei-Wei Tu (4Paradigm, Chine); André Elisseeff (Google Zurich); Prasanna Balaprakash (Argonne National labs), among others (for a full list see <https://autodl.chalearn.org/> and <https://metalearning.chalearn.org/>)

Auto-★ studies at TAU investigate several research directions.

After proposing MOSAIC [158], that extends and adapts Monte-Carlo Tree Search to explore the structured space of pre-processing + learning algorithm configurations, and performs on par with AutoSklearn, the winner of Auto-★ international competitions in the last few years, Herilalaina Rakotoarison explored in his PhD an original approach in cooperation with Gwendoline de Bie and Gabriel Peyre (ENS). The neural learning from distributions proposed by Gwendoline [88] has been extended to achieve equivariant learning. Formally, the proposed DIDA architecture (Distribution-based Invariant Deep Architecture) learns from set of samples, regardless of the order of the samples and of their descriptive features. Two original tasks have been proposed to train a DIDA [62]: detecting whether two set of samples (with different descriptive features) are extracted from the same overall dataset; ranking two hyper-parameter configurations of a given classification algorithm) w.r.t. their predictive accuracy on the sample set. On both tasks, DIDA significantly outperforms the state of the art. Most interestingly, the main limitation incurred on the latter task (which constitutes a proto-task of AutoML) is the lack of sufficient data. Some augmentation process based on OpenML [174] was required to solve this latter task.

Follow-up work within Héri's PHD is concerned with learning meta-features for tabular data to address the lack of expressiveness of the standard Hand-Crafted ones. The idea is to use Optimal Transport to align the distribution of the datasets from the training meta-data with that of their best hyperparameter settings in the space of hyperparameter configurations. The results will be presented as a spotlight (top 5% submissions) at ICLR 2022 [38].

Heri also contributed to a large benchmarking effort together with Olivier Teytaud, former member of the team now with Facebook AI Research [20].

In a second direction, with the internship and starting PhD thesis of Manon Verbockhaven, we adopt a functional analysis viewpoint in order to adapt on the fly the architecture of neural networks that are being trained. This allows to start training neural networks with very few neurons and layers, and add them where they are needed, instead of training huge architectures and then pruning them, a common practice in deep learning, for optimization reasons. For this, we quantify the lack of expressivity of a neural network being trained, by analyzing the difference between how the backpropagation would like the activations to change and what the tangent space of the parameters offers as possible activation variations. We can then localize the lacks of expressivity, and add neurons accordingly. It turns out that the optimal weights of the added neurons can be computed in closed form.

A last direction of investigation concerns the design of challenges, that contribute to the collective advance of research in the Auto-★ direction. The team has been very active in the series of AutoML [170] [109] and AutoDL [19], which has been extended to Meta-Learning, with support from Microsoft, Google, 4Paradigm and ChaLearn. An account of the AutoDL challenge series was published following a the NeurIPS 2020 competition track [143]. Post-challenge analyses were conducted on the Jean-Zay super computer and the results have been published in TPAMI paper [19]. The results of the first edition of the few-shot learning competition, accepted in conjunction with a workshop on meta-learning at the AAAI 2021 conference (with the sponsorship of Microsoft and Google who provided cloud credits), were published in PMLR [17]. A scaled up version was then accepted to the competition program of NeurIPS 2021, and the analysis is under way. The current main take-away is the importance of learning good feature representations. Self-supervised learning seems to be an avenue with great future, allowing to train representations without costly human labeling. A new challenge accepted as part of the WCCI competition program 2022 is currently running. Another challenge on Neural Architecture Search (NAS) has been run together with a workshop at the CVPR 2021 conference. Preliminary results on NAS have been produced by one of our interns (Romain Egele [32]). Further developments have led to effective algorithms to conduct simultaneously NAS and hyper-parameter selection [64]. More details on challenges are found

in Section 8.6).

### 8.2.2 Deep Learning: Practical and Theoretical Insights

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat, Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

**PhDs:** Léonard Blier, Zhengying Liu, Adrien Pavao, Haozhe Sun, Romain Egele

**Collaboration:** Yann Ollivier (Facebook AI Research, Paris)

Although a comprehensive mathematical theory of deep learning is yet to come, theoretical insights from information theory or from dynamical systems can deliver principled improvements to deep learning and/or explain the empirical successes of some architectures compared to others.

During his CIFRE PhD with Facebook AI Research Paris, co-supervised by Yann Ollivier (former TAU member), Léonard Blier has properly formalized the concepts of *successor states* and *multi-goal functions* [58], in particular in the case of continuous state spaces. This allowed him to define unbiased algorithms with finite variance to learn such objects, including the continuous case thanks to approximation functions. In the case of finite environments, new convergence bounds have been obtained for the learning of the value function. These new algorithms capable of learning successor states in turn lead to define and learn new representations for the state space.

The AutoDL challenges, co-organized in TAU (in particular by Isabelle Guyon, and by Zhengying Liu within his PhD), also contribute to a better understanding of Deep Learning. It is interesting to note that no Neural Architecture Search algorithm was proposed to solve the different challenges in AutoDL (corresponding to different data types). See section 8.6 for more details.

The meta-learning setting, which was devised for the Auto\* challenges was analyzed theoretically by Zhengying Liu [141]. Assuming the perfect knowledge of the meta-distribution (i.e., in the limit of a very large number of training tasks), the paper investigates under which conditions algorithm recommendation can benefit from meta-learning, and thus, in some sense, “defeat” the No-Free-Lunch theorem. Four meta-predict strategies are analyzed: Random, Mean, Greedy and Optimal. Conditions of optimality are investigated and experiments conducted on artificial and real data. All results are detailed in Zhengying’s PhD [54]. Some of the directions outlined in this thesis have been pursued by our intern Hung Manh Nguyen, in his work on applying Reinforcement Learning to meta-learning from learning curves [34]. He demonstrated that methods such as DDQN can learn policies that choose best suited algorithms to a given task, in the process of training, without having to wait for DL methods to converge, a big time-saving achievement. Such methods outperform all baselines, including Bayesian Optimization (currently the state of the art).

Our new PhD student Haozhe Sun has begun working on the problem of modularity in Deep Learning. The current trend in Artificial Intelligence (AI) is to heavily rely on systems capable of learning from examples, such as Deep learning (DL) models, a modern embodiment of artificial neural networks. While numerous applications have made it to market in recent years (including self-driving cars, automated assistants, booking services, and chatbots, improvements in search engines, recommendations, and advertising, and health-care applications, to name a few) DL models are still notoriously hard to deploy in new applications. In particular, they require massive numbers of training examples, hours of GPU training, and highly qualified engineers to hand-tune their architectures. This thesis will contribute to reduce the barrier of entry in using DL models for new applications, a step towards “democratizing AI”.

The angle taken will be to develop new Transfer Learning (TL) approaches, based on modular DL architectures. Transfer learning encompasses all techniques to speed up learning by capitalizing on exposure to previous similar tasks. For instance, using pre-trained networks is a key TL tactic used by winners of the recent AutoDL challenge. The doctoral candidate will push forward the notion of reusability of pre-trained networks in whole or in part (modularity). Thus far the student has developed a benchmarking environment called OmniPrint to general problems in TL [50], which lends itself to exploring combinatorial optimization problems.

Our new PhD student Romain Egele has been working in collaboration with Argonne National Labs (USA) has been actively working on Neural Architecture Search (NAS). He developed a package called DeepHyper, allowing users to conduct NAS with genetic algorithms using TensorFlow or PyTorch, the principal Deep Learning frameworks [32]. His contributions include applying Recurrent Neural Network Architecture Search for Geophysical Emulation and Scalable Reinforcement-Learning-Based Neural Architecture Search for Cancer Deep Learning Research.

### 8.2.3 Analyzing and Learning Complex Systems

**Participants:** Cyril Furtlehner, Aurélien Decelle, François Landes

**PhDs:** Giancarlo Fissore, Tony Bonnaire, Marion Ullmo

**Collaboration:** Jacopo Rocchi (LPTMS Paris Sud); the Simons team: Rahul Chako (post-doc), Andrea Liu (UPenn), David Reichman (Columbia), Giulio Biroli (ENS), Olivier Dauchot (ESPCI.); Clément Vignax (EPFL); Yufei Han (Symantec), Nabila Aghanim.

Generative models constitute an important piece of unsupervised ML techniques which is still under rapid development. In this context insights from statistical physics are relevant in particular for energy based models like restricted Boltzmann machines. The information content of a trained restricted Boltzmann machine (RBM) and its learning dynamics can be analyzed precisely with help of ensemble averaging techniques [98, 99]. More insight can be obtained by looking at data of low intrinsic dimension, where exact solutions of the RBM can be obtained [14] thanks to a convex relaxation, along with a Coulomb interpretation of the model, allowing to detect important shortcomings of standard training procedures and their possible resolution in views of concrete applications. In particular we have found a 1st order transition mechanisms that may plague the learning in a more advanced part of the learning. To overcome this problem we have identified two possible solutions. One is based on a theoretical observation relating the learning process to a regularized linear regression, after considering a convex relaxation of the model [14]. The other way [30] is to take advantage of out-of-equilibrium phenomena occurring when training the RBM with Monte Carlo chains that do not converge toward the equilibrium distribution. In this setting, it is possible to set up a precise dynamical process that will be learned and which does not need very long equilibration time. When the RBM is trained in that way, by taking the same dynamics used for the learning regime, when generating new data, we can avoid the problem raised by the first order transition. From the practical point of view, we have proposed a monitoring procedure involving a set of metrics [30] to insure a correct and efficient learning. While it is known that training of RBMs is difficult, our recent findings should help us ensuring to perform this task correctly.

Beside this, a long term project on traffic prediction based on different mean-field methods, sparse inverse covariances and belief propagation has been wrapped up in [18] with extensive experiments on real data.

As mentioned earlier, the use of ML to address fundamental physics problems is quickly growing. In that direction two different directions have been taken. On one hand, the PhD thesis of M. Ullmo and T. Bonnaire is focusing on dealing with the characterization of the cosmic web (the baryonic structure taking place at large scale in our universe) in order to track the so-called missing baryons of the standard theory. M. Ullmo demonstrated the feasibility of using Generative Adversarial Network (GAN) on the distributions dark matter at cosmological scale (up to hundreds of Mpc) both using data coming from 2D simulation and 3D simulations [26]. In that setting, she also developed a novel building an encoder capable of inferring the latent structure of the GAN for a given image and showing that many details are recovered. T. Bonnaire on his side worked on designing a new method in order to classifying the structure of the cosmic web into clusters and filaments, directly from the position of the dark matter galaxies. To do so, he developed a method based on the Gaussian mixture model with a prior forcing the centers to "live" on a tree-graph: two centers sharing an edge on this graph benefit from an attractive attraction, forcing the algorithm to adapt the center's position taking into account both the density distribution and the shape of the prior [90], [59, 15]. This method has been further developed for handling in particular possible outliers and put into a general formalism [16].

On the other hand, it leads to some methodological mistakes from newcomers, that have been investigated by Rémi Perrier (2 month internship). One example is the domain of glasses (how the structure of glasses is related to their dynamics), which is one of the major problems in modern theoretical physics [80]. The idea is to let ML models automatically find the hidden structures (features) that control the flowing or non-flowing state of matter, discriminating liquid from solid states. These models can then help identifying "computational order parameters", that would advance the understanding of physical phenomena [72, 15], on the one hand, and support the development of more complex models, on the other hand. More generally, attacking the problem of amorphous condensed matter by novel Graph Neural Networks (GNN) architectures is a very promising lead, regardless of the precise quantity one may want to predict. Currently GNNs are engineered to deal with molecular systems and/or crystals, but not to deal with amorphous matter. This second axis is currently being attacked in collaboration with

Clément Vignac (PhD Student at EPFL), using GNNs, and more recently with a promising M2 internship (Francesco Pezzicoli). Furthermore, this problem is new to the ML community and it provides an original non-trivial example for engineering, testing and benchmarking explainability protocols.

Another direction of research related to learning to learn in complex systems has been investigated in collaboration with Omar Shrit (LISN, ROCS), in order to learn decentralized controllers for a swarm of quadcopters [39, 48]. The principle consists in alternatively generating data using the Gazebo simulator, and labelling these data to learn a better controller via supervised learning. The approach is iterated. The originality lies in using the strength of communication signal to infer the distance among the quadcopters. The exploitation of the data via ML proves an efficient and robust way to handle the noise in the communication signal.

### 8.3 Computational Social Sciences

Computational Social Sciences (CSS) is making significant progress in the study of social and economic phenomena thanks to the combination of social science theories and new insight from data science. While the simultaneous advent of massive data and unprecedented computational power has opened exciting new avenues, it has also raised new questions and challenges.

Several studies are being conducted in TAU, about labor (labor markets, the labor of human annotators for AI data, quality of life and economic performance), about nutrition (health, food, and socio-demographic issues), around Cartolabe, a platform for scientific information system and visual querying and around GAMA, a multi-agent based simulation platform.

#### 8.3.1 Labor Studies

**Participants:** Philippe Caillou, Isabelle Guyon, Michèle Sebag, Paola Tubaro

**PhDs:** Guillaume Bied, Armand Lacombe, Elinor Wahal, Assia Wirth

**Post-Docs:** Saumya Jetley

**Engineers:** Raphael Jaiswal, Victor Alfonso Naya

**Collaboration:** Jean-Pierre Nadal (EHESS); Marco Cuturi, Bruno Crépon (ENSAE); Antonio Casilli, Ulrich Laitenberger (Telecom Paris); Odile Chagny (IRES); Francesca Musiani, Mélanie Dulong de Rosnay (CNRS); José Luis Molina (Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona); Antonio Ortega (Universitat de València); Julian Posada (University of Toronto)

A first area of activity of TAU in Computational Social Sciences is the study of labor, from the functioning of the job market, to the rise of new, atypical forms of work in the networked society of internet platforms, and the quality of life at work.

**Job markets** Two projects deal with the domain of job markets and machine learning. The DATAIA project Vadore, in collaboration with ENSAE and Pôle Emploi, has two goals. First, to improve the recommendation of jobs for applicants (and the recommendation of applicants to job offers). The main originalities in this project are: i) to use both machine learning and optimal transport to improve the recommendation by learning a matching function for past hiring, and then to apply optimal transport-like bias to tackle market congestion (e.g. to avoid assigning many applicants to a same job offer); ii) to use randomized test on micro-markets (AB testing) in collaboration with Pôle Emploi to test the global impact of the algorithms. First results on past data have been published about congestion avoidance algorithms [43] and about the economic analysis of the recommendation results [46].

The JobAgile project, BPI-PIA contract, coll. EHESS, Dataiku and Qapa, deals with low salary interim job recommendations. A main difference with the Vadore project relies on the high reactivity of the Qapa and Dataiku startups: i) to actually implement AB-testing; ii) to explore related functionalities, typically the recommendation of formations; iii) to propose a visual querying of the job market, using the Cartolabe framework (below).

#### The human labor behind AI

We look at data "micro-workers" who perform essential, yet marginalized and poorly paid tasks such as labeling objects in a photograph, translating or transcribing short texts, or recording utterances. Micro-workers are recruited through specialist intermediaries across supply chains that span the globe and reproduce inherited North-South outsourcing relationships [21]. Further observed inequalities are

gender-based [24]. Despite the opportunity to telework, the COVID-19 pandemic has adversely affected these workers, widening the gap that separates them from the formally employed [23]. Current work extends this research to look at the demand for these non-standard forms of labor that emanate from companies, notably in France and Germany [56].

The possibility to use micro-work for research purposes (for example, in online surveys and experiments) raises specific ethical issues [51] that add to the rising number of challenges in today's science [25] and requires adapted responses at all stages of research, from data collection to analysis and even dissemination of results [22].

### 8.3.2 Health, food, and socio-demographic relationships

**Participants:** Philippe Caillou, Michèle Sebag, Paola Tubaro

**PhD:** Armand Lacombe

**Post-doc:** Ksenia Gasnikova, Saumya Jetley

**Collaboration:** Louis-Georges Soler, Olivier Allais (INRA); Jean-Pierre Nadal, Annick Vignes (CAMS, EHESS)

Another area of activity concerns the relationships between eating practices, socio-demographic features and health, and its links with causal learning (see also Section 8.1.1), that has been continued in 2020.

The study about the impact of nutrition on health started in the context of the *Initiative de Recherche Stratégique* Nutriperso (2016-2018), headed by Louis-George Soler, INRAE, based on the wealth of data provided by the Kantar panel (170,000 bought products by 10,000 households over Year 2014). The challenges are manifold. Firstly, the number of potential causes is in the thousands, thus larger by an order of magnitude compared to most causal modelling studies. Secondly, a "same" product (e.g. "pizza") has vastly different impacts on health, depending on its composition and (hyper)processing. Lastly, the data is ridden with hidden confounders (e.g. with no information about smoking or sport habits).

On the one hand, the famed *Deconfounder approach* [177, 95, 151, 129] has been investigated and extended to account for the known presence of hidden confounders, as follows. A probabilistic model of the nutritional products based on Latent Dirichlet Allocation has been used, the factors of which are used as substitute confounders (SC) to block the effects of the confounders. On the other hand, the innovative notion of "micro-interventions" has been defined, operating on the basket of products associated to a household to e.g. replace the products with organic products; or increase the amount of alcohol ingested. The average treatment effect of the micro-interventions has been assessed conditionally to each SC, after correction for the biases related to the socio-economic description of the households. Submission in preparation.

### 8.3.3 Scientific Information System and Visual Querying

**Participants:** Philippe Caillou, Michèle Sebag

**Engineers:** Anne-Catherine Letournel, Victor Alfonso Naya

**Collaboration:** Jean-Daniel Fekete (AVIZ, Inria Saclay)

A third area of activity concerns the 2D visualisation and querying of a corpus of documents. Its initial motivation was related to scientific organisms, institutes or Universities, using their scientific production (set of articles, authors, title, abstract) as corpus. The Cartolabe project (see also Section 7) started as an Inria ADT (coll. TAO and AVIZ, 2015-2017). It received a grant from CNRS (coll. TAU, AVIZ and HCC-LRI, 2018-2019).

The originality of the approach is to rely on the content of the documents (as opposed to, e.g. the graph of co-authoring and citations). This specificity allowed to extend Cartolabe to various corpora, such as Wikipedia, Bibliothèque Nationale de France, or the Software Heritage. Cartolabe was also applied in 2019 to the *Grand Debat* dataset: to support the interactive exploration of the 3 million propositions; and to check the consistency of the official results of the *Grand Debat* with the data. Cartolabe has also been applied in 2020 to the COVID-19 kaggle publication dataset (Cartolabe-COVID project) to explore these publications.

Among its intended functionalities are: the visual assessment of a domain and its structuration (who is expert in a scientific domain, how related are the domains); the coverage of an institute expertise relatively



to the general expertise; the evolution of domains along time (identification of rising topics). A round of interviews with beta-user scientists has been performed in 2019-2020. Cartolabe usage raises questions at the crossroad of human-centered computing, data visualization and machine learning: i) how to deal with stressed items (the 2D projection of the item similarities poorly reflects their similarities in the high dimensional document space; ii) how to customize the similarity and exploit the users' feedback about relevant neighborhoods. A statement of the current state of the project was published in 2021 [12].

#### 8.3.4 Multi-Agent based simulation framework for social science

**Participants:** Philippe Caillou

**Collaboration:** Patrick Taillandier (INRA), Alexis Drogoul and Nicolas Marilleau (IRD), Arnaud Grignard (MediaLab, MIT), Benoit Gaudou (Université Toulouse 1)

Since 2008, P. Caillou contributes to the development of the **GAMA platform**, a multi-agent based simulation framework. Its evolution is driven by the research projects using it, which makes it very well suited for social sciences studies and simulations.

The focus of the development team in 2020 was on the stability of the platform and on the documentation to provide a stable and well documented framework to the users.

### 8.4 Energy Management

#### 8.4.1 Power Grids Management

**Participants:** Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer

**PhDs:** Balthazar Donon, Wenzhuo Liu

**Collaboration:** Rémi Clément, Patrick Panciatici (RTE)

Our collaboration with RTE, during Benjamin Donnot's (2016-2019) [101] and Balthazar Donon's CIFRE PhDs (to be defended in March 2022), is centered on the maintenance of the national French Power Grid. In order to maintain the so-called "(n-1) safety" (see Section 4.2), fast simulations of the electrical flows on the grid are mandatory, that the home-brewed simulator HADES is too slow to provide. The main difficulty of using Deep Neural Networks surrogate models is that the topology of the grid (a graph) should be taken into account, and because all topologies cannot be included in the training set, this requires out-of-sample generalization capabilities of the learned models.

Balthazar Donon developed an approach based on Graph Neural Networks (GNNs). From a Power Grid perspective, GNNs can be viewed as including the topology in the heart of the structure of the neural network, and learning some generic transfer function amongst nodes that will perform well on any topology. His work [102] uses a loss that directly aims to minimize Kirshhoff's law on all lines. Theoretical results as well as a generalization of the approach to other optimization problems on graphs are at the heart of his PhD.

#### 8.4.2 Optimization of Local Grids and the Modeling of Worst-case Scenarios

**Participants:** Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

**PhDs:** Victor Berger, Herilalaina Rakotoarison

**Post-doc:** Berna Batu

**Collaboration:** Vincent Renault (Artelys), Gabriel Peyré and Gwendoline de Bie (ENS).

One of the goals of the ADEME Next project, in collaboration with SME Artelys (see also Section 4.2), is the sizing and capacity design of regional power grids. Though smaller than the national grid, regional and urban grids nevertheless raise scaling issues, in particular because many more fine-grained information must be taken into account for their design and predictive growth.

Regarding the design of such grids, and provided accurate predictions of consumption are available (see below), off-the-shelf graph optimization algorithms can be used. Berna Batu is gathering different approaches. Herilalaina Rakotoarison's PhD tackles the automatic tuning of their parameters (see Section 8.2.1); while the Mosaic algorithm is validated on standard AutoML benchmarks [158], its application to Artelys' home optimizer at large Knitro is on-going, and compared to the state-of-the-art in parameter tuning (confidential deliverable). More details to come in Heri's PhD to be defended in May 2022.

In order to get accurate consumption predictions, V. Berger's PhD tackles the identification of the peak of energy consumption, defined as the level of consumption that is reached during at least a given duration with a given probability, depending on consumers (profiles and contracts) and weather conditions. The peak identification problem is currently tackled using Monte-Carlo simulations based on consumer profile- and weather-dependent individual models, at a high computational cost. The challenge is to exploit individual models to train a generative model, aimed to sampling the collective consumption distribution in the quantiles with highest peak consumption. The concept of *Compositional Variational Auto-Encoder* was proposed: it is amenable to multi-ensemblist operations (addition or subtraction of elements in the composition), enabled by the invariance and generality of the whole framework w.r.t. respectively, the order and number of the elements. It has been first tested on synthetic problems [86]. The corresponding approach has been extended to study the trade-off between the optimization of the reconstruction loss and the latent compression of VAEs, both theoretically and numerically, and to fine-tune generative models [57]. All these results are detailed in Victor's PhD [52], defended in November 2021.

## 8.5 Data-driven Numerical Modelling

### 8.5.1 Space Weather Forecasting

**Participants:** Cyril Furtlehner, Michèle Sebag

**Post-doc:** Olivier Bui

**Collaboration:** Jannis Teunissen (CWI)

Space Weather is broadly defined as the study of the relationships between the variable conditions on the Sun and the space environment surrounding Earth. Aside from its scientific interest from the point of view of fundamental space physics phenomena, Space Weather plays an increasingly important role on our technology-dependent society. In particular, it focuses on events that can affect the performance and reliability of space-borne and ground-based technological systems, such as satellite and electric networks that can be damaged by an enhanced flux of energetic particles interacting with electronic circuits.<sup>6</sup>

Since 2016, in the context of the Inria-CWI partnership, a collaboration between TAU and the Multi-scale Dynamics Group of CWI aims to **long-term Space Weather forecasting**. The goal is to take advantage of the data produced everyday by satellites surveying the sun and the magnetosphere, and more particularly to relate solar images and the quantities (e.g., electron flux, proton flux, solar wind speed) measured on the L1 libration point between the Earth and the Sun (about 1,500,000 km and 1 hour time forward of Earth). A challenge is to formulate such goals in terms of supervised learning problem, while the "labels" associated to solar images are recorded at L1 (thus with a varying and unknown time lag). In essence, while typical ML models aim to answer the question *What*, our goal here is to answer both questions *What* and *When*. This project has been articulated around Mandar Chandorkar's Phd thesis [93] which has been defended this year in Eindhoven. The continuation of this collaboration is insured by the hiring of Olivier Bui as a post-doc who's work has consisting in extending preliminary results on solar wind forecasting based on auto-encoded solar magnetograms on a longer period of data corresponding to 2 solar cycles. Negative results have incited us to dig more into physical models of solar wind propagation and try to combine them with ML models in a systematic way.

### 8.5.2 Genomic Data and Population Genetics

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat, Flora Jay, Aurélien Decelle, Cyril Furtlehner

**PhD:** Théophile Sanchez, Jérémy Guez

**PostDoc:** Jean Cury, Burak Yelmen

**Collaboration:** Bioinfo Team (LRI), Estonian Biocentre (Institute of Genomics, Tartu, Estonia), UNAM (Mexico), U Brown (USA), U Cornell (USA), TIMC-IMAG (Grenoble), MNHN (Paris), Pasteur Institute (Paris)

Thanks to the constant improvement of DNA sequencing technology, large quantities of genetic data should greatly enhance our knowledge about evolution and in particular the past history of a population.

<sup>6</sup>After a recent survey conducted by the insurance company Lloyd's, an extreme Space Weather event could produce up to \$2.6 trillion in financial damage.

This history can be reconstructed over the past thousands of years, by inference from present-day individuals: by comparing their DNA, identifying shared genetic mutations or motifs, their frequency, and their correlations at different genomic scales. Still, the best way to extract information from large genomic data remains an open problem; currently, it mostly relies on drastic dimensionality reduction, considering a few well-studied population genetics features.

For the past decades, simulation-based likelihood-free inference methods have enabled researchers to address numerous population genetics problems. As the richness and amount of simulated and real genetic data keep increasing, the field has a strong opportunity to tackle tasks that current methods hardly solve. However, high data dimensionality forces most methods to summarize large genomic datasets into a relatively small number of handcrafted features (summary statistics). In [163], we propose an alternative to summary statistics, based on the automatic extraction of relevant information using deep learning techniques. Specifically, we design artificial neural networks (ANNs) that take as input single nucleotide polymorphic sites (SNPs) found in individuals sampled from a single population and infer the past effective population size history. First, we provide guidelines to construct artificial neural networks that comply with the intrinsic properties of SNP data such as invariance to permutation of haplotypes, long scale interactions between SNPs and variable genomic length. Thanks to a Bayesian hyperparameter optimization procedure, we evaluate the performance of multiple networks and compare them to well established methods like Approximate Bayesian Computation (ABC). Even without the expert knowledge of summary statistics, our approach compares fairly well to an ABC based on handcrafted features. Furthermore we show that combining deep learning and ABC can improve performance while taking advantage of both frameworks. Later, we experimented with other types of permutation invariance, based on similar architectures, and achieved a significant performance gain with respect to the state of the art, including w.r.t. ABC on summary statistics (20% gap), which means that we extract information from raw data that is not present in summary statistics. The question is now how to express this information in a human-friendly way.

In the short-term these architectures can be used for demographic inference or selection inference in bacterial populations (ongoing work with a postdoctoral researcher, J Cury, collab: Pasteur Institute, for ancient DNA: UNAM and U Brown); the longer-term goal is to integrate them in various systems handling genetic data or other biological sequence data. Regarding the bacterial populations, we already implemented a flexible simulator that will allow researchers to investigate complex evolutionary scenarios (e.g. dynamics of antibiotic resistance in 2D space through time) with realistic biological processes (bacterial recombination), which was impossible before (collab. U Cornell, MNHN) [13].

In collaboration with the Institute of Genomics of Tartu, we leveraged two types of generative neural networks (Generative Adversarial Networks and Restricted Boltzmann Machines) to learn the high dimensional distributions of real genomic datasets and create artificial genomes [27]. These artificial genomes retain important characteristics of the real genomes (genetic allele frequencies and linkage, hidden population structure, ...) without copying them and have the potential to be valuable assets in future genetic studies by providing anonymous substitutes for private databases (such as the ones held by companies or public institutes like the Institute of Genomics of Tartu. Ongoing work concerns scaling up to the full genome and developing new privacy scores.

We released `dnadna`, a flexible open-source python-based software for deep learning inference in population genetics<sup>7</sup>. It is task-agnostic and aims at facilitating the development, reproducibility, dissemination, and reusability of neural networks designed for genetic polymorphism data. `dnadna` defines multiple user-friendly workflows[67].

### 8.5.3 Privacy and synthetic data generation

**Participants:** Isabelle Guyon

**PhD:** Adrien Pavao

**Collaboration:** Kristin Bennett and Joe Pedersen (RPI, NY, USA), Wei-Wei Tu (4Paradigm, Chine), Pablo Piantanida (Centrale-Supelec)

Collecting and distributing actual medical data is costly and greatly restrained by laws protecting patients' health and privacy. While beneficial, these laws severely limit access to medical data thus

<sup>7</sup><https://gitlab.com/mlgenetics/dnadna>

stagnating innovation and limiting research and educational opportunities. The process of obfuscation of medical data is costly and time consuming with high penalties for accidental release. Thus, we have engaged in developing and using realistic simulated medical data in research and in teaching. In [181] we develop metrics for measuring the quality of synthetic health data for both education and research. We use novel and existing metrics to capture a synthetic dataset's resemblance, privacy, utility and footprint. Using these metrics, we develop an end-to-end workflow based on our generative adversarial network (GAN) method, HealthGAN, that creates privacy preserving synthetic health data. Our workflow meets privacy specifications of our data partner: (1) the HealthGAN is trained inside a secure environment; (2) the HealthGAN model is used outside of the secure environment by external users to generate synthetic data. In [180] we put the HealthGAN methodology that we developed in the previous paper to work in a practical setting. We reproduce the research outcomes obtained on two previously published studies, which used private health data, using synthetic data generated with a method that we developed, called HealthGAN. We demonstrate the value of our methodology for generating and evaluating the quality and privacy of synthetic health data. The dataset are from OptumLabs R Data Warehouse (OLDW). The OLDW is accessed within a secure environment and doesn't allow exporting of patient level data of any type of data, real or synthetic, therefore the HealthGAN exports a privacy-preserving generator model instead. The studies examine questions related to comorbidities of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD) using medical records of children with ASD and matched patients without ASD. HealthGAN generates high quality synthetic data that produce similar results while preserving patient privacy. In [96], we extend existing time-series generative models to generate medical data, which is challenging due to this influence of patient covariates. We propose a workflow wherein we leverage existing generative models to generate such data. We demonstrate this approach by generating synthetic versions of several time-series datasets where static covariates influence the temporal values.

While theoretical criteria of privacy preservation, such as "differential privacy" are important to gain insights into how to protect privacy, they are often impractical, because they put forward pessimistic bounds and impose degrading data and/or model to a point that hampers utility. Additionally, for all practical purposes, data owners seek to obtain guarantees that no private information is leaked in the form of an empirical statistical test, rather than a more elusive theoretical guarantee. To that end, we have set to work on evaluating the effectiveness of privacy protection against specific attacks, such as membership inference or attribute inference. We devised an evaluation apparatus called "LTU-attacker" [37], in collaboration with Kristin Bennett, Joe Pedersen, and Wei-Wei Tu and with 2 interns (Rafel Monos-Gomez and Jiangna Huang) have obtained interesting preliminary results demonstrating lack of privacy preservation of most scikit-learn algorithms under membership inference attacks. New directions currently explored in collaboration with Pablo Piantanida include defining a degree of "privacy exposure" of particular individual involving information theoretic arguments.

With Master student Alice Lacan, we have been investigating the modelization of the Covid-19 epidemic propagation using compartmental models, following earlier work by former master student Martin Cepeda. A group of students including Alice entered the "Pandemic response" XPrize and qualified for the final phase. This work was followed by a paper on estimating uncertainty in time series, in application to predicting the evolution of the number of Covid cases presented at the BayLearn 2022 conference[45]. Alice was invited to give a presentation of this work at the WIDS 2023 conference.

#### 8.5.4 Sampling molecular conformations

**Participants:** Guillaume Charpiat

**PhD:** Loris Felardos

**Collaboration:** Jérôme Hénin (IBPC), Bruno Raffin (InriAlpes)

Numerical simulations on massively parallel architectures, routinely used to study the dynamics of biomolecules at the atomic scale, produce large amounts of data representing the time trajectories of molecular configurations, with the goal of exploring and sampling all possible configuration basins of given molecules. The configuration space is high-dimensional (10,000+), hindering the use of standard data analytics approaches. The use of advanced data analytics to identify intrinsic configuration patterns could be transformative for the field.

The high-dimensional data produced by molecular simulations live on low-dimensional manifolds; the extraction of these manifolds will enable to drive detailed large-scale simulations further in the

configuration space. We study how to bypass simulations by directly predicting, given a molecule formula, its possible configurations. This is done using Graph Neural Networks [105] in a generative way, producing 3D configurations. The goal is to sample all possible configurations, and with the right probability. This year we studied various normalizing flow architectures as well as varied training criteria suitable for distributions (Kullback-Leibler divergence in latent or sample space, in one direction or the other one, as it is not symmetric, but also pairwise distances, optimal transport, etc.). It turns out that mode collapse is frequently observed in most cases, even on simple tasks. Further analysis identified several causes for this, from which we built remedies.

### 8.5.5 Earthquake occurrence prediction

**Participants:** François Landes, Marc Schoenauer

**PhD:** Vincenzo Schimmenti

**Collaboration:** Alberto Rosso (LPTMS)

Earthquakes occur in brittle regions of the Crust typically located at the depth of 5-15 km and characterized by a solid friction, which is at the origin of the stick-slip behaviour. Their magnitude distribution displays the celebrated Gutenberg-Richter law and a significant increase of the seismic rate is observed after large events (called main shocks). The occurrence of the subsequent earthquakes in the same region, the aftershocks, obeys well established empirical laws that demand to be understood. A change in the seismic rate also happens before a main shock, with an excess of small events compared to the expected rate of aftershocks related to the previous main shock in that region. These additional events are defined as foreshocks of the coming main shock, however they are scarce so that defining them is a very difficult task. For this reason their statistical fingerprint, so important for human security, remains elusive. In this project we combine the techniques of Statistical Physics and Machine Learning to determine the complex spatio-temporal patterns of the events produced by the dynamics of the fault. In particular we plan to understand the structure of the short sequence of foreshocks, and their potential impact for human applications.

The treatment of rare events by Machine Learning is a challenging yet rapidly evolving domain. At TAU we have a great expertise in data modeling, in particular we are currently working on space weather forecast, a supervised task where, like in seismicity, extreme and rare events are crucial. Bayesian models and Restricted Boltzmann Machines (RBMs) have been built to model these weather forecast data. These techniques, inspired from statistical physics, are both based on a probabilistic description of latent variables (i.e. unobserved variables) and have great expressiveness, allowing the modelling of a large span of data correlations. This kind of models can be extended to study spatially resolved earthquakes, the latent variable here being the local stress within the fault and in the ductile regions. Our goal is to characterize the statistical properties of a sequence of events (foreshocks, main shock and aftershocks) and predict its following history. We will first study the sequences obtained from simulations of the physical model [73]. We will answer the following question: given a short sequence of foreshocks, can we predict the future of the sequence? How big will be the main shock? When will it occur? In a second step we will use also the data coming from real sequences, where events are unlabeled. These sequences are public and available (The most accurate catalog is for Southern California, a catalog with 1.81 million earthquakes. It is available at <https://scedc.caltech.edu/research-tools/QTMcatalog.html>). Concretely, the data consists in the earthquakes' magnitude, occurrence time and hypocenter locations.

Two parallel directions are being explored, with our PhD Student, Vincenzo Schimmenti:

- The available data can be used to tune the parameters of the new model to improve its accuracy and generalization properties. We will adjust the parameters of the elastic and friction coefficients in order to produce earthquakes with realistic magnitudes. This will allow us to have information about the physical condition in the fault and in the ductile regions.
- We will use our understanding of foreshocks statistics to perform classification of earthquakes with respect to their nature: foreshock, main shock or after shock, and alignment (assignment of the earthquake to a sequence). These labels are known in the synthetic data and unknown in the catalogs, so this would be an instance of semi-supervised learning. Our final goal is real data completion: presented with an incomplete catalog, the machine is asked to complete it with the missing points.

### 8.5.6 Reduced order model correction

**Participants:** Michele Alessandro Bucci, Marc Schoenauer

**PhD:** Emmanuel Menier

**Collaboration:** Mouadh Yagoubi (IRT-SystemX)

Numerical simulations of fluid dynamics in industrial applications require the spatial discretization of complex 3D geometries with consequent demanding computational operations for the PDE integration. The computational cost is mitigated by the formulation of Reduced Order Models (ROMs) aiming at describing the flow dynamics in a low dimensional feature space. The Galerkin projection of the driving equations onto a meaningful orthonormal basis speeds up the numerical simulations but introduces numerical errors linked to the underrepresentation of dissipative mechanisms.

Deep Neural Networks can be trained to compensate missing information in the projection basis. By exploiting the projection operation, the ROM correction consists in a forcing term in the reduced dynamical system which has to i) recover the information living in the subspace orthonormal to the projection one ii) ensure that its dynamic is dissipative. A constrained optimization is then employed to minimize the ROM errors but also to ensure the reconstruction and the dissipative nature of the forcing. We tested this solution on benchmarked cases where it is well known that transient dynamics are poorly represented by ROMs. The results [69] show how the correction term improves the prediction while preserving the guarantees of the ROM.

### 8.5.7 Active Learning for chaotic systems

**Participants:** Michele Alessandro Bucci

**Collaboration:** Lionel Mathelin (LISN), Onofrio Semeraro (LISN), Sergio Chibbaro (UPMC), Alexander Allauzen (ESPCI)

The inference of a data driven model aiming at reproducing chaotic systems is challenging even for the most performing Neural Network architectures. According to the ergodic theory, the amount of data required to converge the invariant measure of a chaotic system goes exponentially with its intrinsic dimension. It follows that for learning the dynamics of a turbulent flow, the computing resources in the world would not be enough to store the necessary data. To circumvent such limitations we generally introduce constraints in the optimization stage in order to preserve physical invariants, when they are known.

In [92] we compared model quality when trained with and without ergodic time series generated by the Lorenz systems (i.e. the chaotic system related to the “butterfly effect”). The ergodic dataset is composed of one long trajectory (27000 time steps), whereas the non ergodic one is composed by 9 short trajectories (9000 time steps each) randomly initialized on the chaotic attractor. Despite the same amount of points, it turns out that the non-ergodic dataset led to biased models. Short trajectories do not ensure statistical knowledge of the phase space. Exploiting the structure of the phase space, 9 trajectories (9000 time steps) emanated from the 3 fix points of the Lorenz systems have been used to generate a new dataset. The fix points and their unstable directions define the skeleton of the phase space. The trajectories emanated from them allow to reduce the entropy of the dataset without introducing bias in the learned models. A dataset incorporating the dynamics around the fix points, not only allows to obtain more robust models with respect to the initialization of the NN parameters but also allows to reduce the size of the dataset by 60% without affecting the quality of the models. Recent work [60] analyzes the amount of data that is sufficient for a priori guaranteeing a faithful model of the physical system.

### 8.5.8 Control of fluid dynamics with reinforcement learning

**Participants:** Michele Alessandro Bucci

**Collaboration:** Lionel Mathelin (LISN), Onofrio Semeraro (LISN), Thibaut Guegan (PPrime), Laurent Cordier (PPrime)

The control of fluid dynamics is an active research area given the implications of aerodynamic forces in the transport and energy field. Being able to delay the laminar-to-turbulent transition, stabilize unsteady mechanisms or reduce the pressure forces of an object moving in a fluid, would allow for more ecological vehicles or more efficient wind turbines. For quadratic objective functions and for conditions in which the linearized Navier Stokes equation is a good approximation of the fluid dynamics around

the target state, optimal control theory provides the necessary tools (e.g. Riccati equation, direct-adjoint optimization) to recover a robust control policy. In the case of non-linearizable systems, non-quadratic cost functions or in the absence of a model, these tools are no longer valid. Reinforcement learning algorithms allow us to solve the optimal problem even if the model is not available. The control problem, with an infinite time horizon, can be decomposed into local optimal problems if the system is completely observed and its dynamics is Markovian. The solution of the Bellman equation ensure the optimality of the policy if the phase space of the system has been fully explored [165].

We applied actor-critic algorithms (TD3) to control a benchmarked flow configuration: the PinBall case [123], [44]. In the PinBall case, the flow impacting on three cylinders arranged at the vertices of an equilateral triangle generates an unstable wake that causes high aerodynamic forces. Allowing the cylinders to rotate, the RL algorithm provides a control policy capable of reducing the drag by 60% compared to the uncontrolled case. We have also shown how partial observation of the flow velocity field through sensors is not a limiting factor if a temporal state embedding is considered. By reducing the number of sensors and increasing the size of the state with past observations, the efficiency of the policy is not degraded.

## 8.6 Challenges

**Participants:** Cécile Germain, Isabelle Guyon, Adrien Pavao, Anne-Catherine Letournel, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag

**PhD:** Zhengying Liu, Balthazar Donon, Adrien Pavao, Haozhe Sun, Romain Egele

**Engineer:** Sébastien Tréguer.

**Collaborations:** D. Rousseau (LAL), André Elisseff (Google Zurich), Jean-Roch Vilmant (CERN), Antoine Marot and Benjamin Donnot (RTE), Kristin Bennett (RPI), Magali Richard (Université de Grenoble), Wei-Wei Tu (4Paradigm, Chine), Sergio Escalera (U. Barcelona, Espagne).

The TAU group uses challenges (scientific competitions) as a means of stimulating research in machine learning and engage a diverse community of engineers, researchers, and students to learn and contribute advancing the state-of-the-art. The TAU group is community lead of the open-source **Codalab** platform (see Section 7), hosted by Université Paris-Saclay. The project had grown in 2019 and includes now an engineer dedicated full time to administering the platform and developing challenges (Adrien Pavao), financed by a new project just starting with the Région Ile-de-France. This project will also receive the support of the Chaire Nationale d'Intelligence Artificielle of Isabelle Guyon for the next four years.

Our doctoral student Adrien Pavao has set to work on the theoretical rationalization of judging competitions. A first work he published made ties between this problem and the theory of social choice [36]. This is applicable, in particular to judging multi-task or multi-objective challenges: each task or objective can be thought of as a “judge” voting towards determining a winner. He devised novel empirical criteria to assess the quality of ranking functions, including the generalization to new tasks and the stability under judge or candidate perturbation and conducted empirical comparisons on 5 competitions and benchmarks. While prior theoretical analyses indicate that no single ranking function satisfies all desired theoretical properties, our empirical study reveals that the classical “average rank” method (often used in practice to judge competitions) fares well. However, some pairwise comparison methods can get better empirical results.

Following the highly successful ChaLearn **AutoML** Challenges (NIPS 2015 – ICML 2016 [125] – PKDD 2018 [126]), a series of challenges on the theme of **AutoDL** [140] was run in 2019 (see <http://autodl.chalearn.org>, addressing the problem of tuning the hyperparameters of Deep Neural Networks, including the topology of the network itself. Co-sponsored by Google Zurich, it required participants to upload their code on the Codalab platform. The series included two challenges in computer vision called **AutoCV** and **AutoCV2**, to promote automatic machine learning for image and video processing, in collaboration with University of Barcelona [142]. It also included challenges in speech processing (**AutoSpeech**), text processing (**AutoNLP**), weakly supervised learning (**AutoWeakly**) and times series (**AutoSeries**), co-organized with 4Paradigm. It culminated with launching the **AutoDL** challenge combining multiple modalities (presently on-going). The winners of each challenge open-sourced their code. GPU cloud resources were donated by Google. AutoDL was an official NeurIPS 2020 competition. The challenge series is continuing beyond AutoDL, with the **AutoGraph** challenge that was organized for KDD 2020 <https://www.automl.ai/competitions/3> and the newly started Meta-Learning challenge series

<https://metalearning.chalearn.org/>, whose first edition took place in conjunction with AAAI 2021. A new challenge on automated reinforcement learning (AutoRL) is currently under design.

A new challenge series in Reinforcement Learning was started with the company RTE France, one the theme “Learning to run a power network” [146] (**L2RPN**, <http://l2rpn.chalearn.org>). The goal is to test the potential of Reinforcement Learning to solve a real world problem of great practical importance: controlling electricity transportation in smart grids while keeping people and equipment safe. The first edition was run in Spring 2019 and was part of the official selection of the IJCNN 2019 conference. It ran on the Codalab platform coupled with the open source PyPower simulator of power grids interfaced with the Opengym RL framework, developed by OpenAI. In this gamified environment, the participants had to create a proper controller of a small grid of 14 nodes. Not all of them used RL, but some combinations of RL and human expertise proved to be competitive. In 2020, we launched a new edition of the challenge with a more powerful simulator rendering the grid more realistic and capable of simulating a 118-node grid within our computational constraints. This competition was accepted as part of the official program of NeurIPS 2020 [145]. While first competitions aimed at demonstrating the feasibility of applying Reinforcement Learning for controlling electrical flows on a power grid, the NeurIPS competition introduced a realistically-sized grid environment along with two fundamental real-life properties of power grid systems to reconsider while shifting towards a sustainable world: robustness and adaptability. The analysis paper is under review. Last but not least, within the European project TAILOR, the TAU team is responsible for organizing challenges, and a further edition of the L2RPN dealing with changing topology is being co-organized with RTE and TAILOR challenge task force.

The **COMETH** project (EIT Health) aims to run a series of challenges to promote and encourage innovations in data analysis and personalized medicine. Université de Grenoble organized a challenge on the newly developed Codabench platform (<https://www.codabench.org/>). The challenge gathered transdisciplinary instructors (researchers and professors), students, and health professionals (clinicians). The COMETH project aimed at creating benchmarks permitting practitioners to gain access to advanced algorithms provided by machine learning researchers. We developed a WebApp, which interfaces Codabench with a simplified interface designed for Physicians and which makes robot submissions to Codabench on their behalf. As a synergistic activity, TAU is also engaged in a collaboration with the Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute (RPI, New-York, USA) to use challenges in the classroom, as part of their health-informatics curriculum.

We have also shared our expertise (and made our challenge platform Codalab available) to support two other NeurIPS challenges: The Black Box Optimization for Machine Learning challenge <https://bbochallenge.com/>, which was then used as part of a class of optimization of the M2 AI of universit  Paris-Saclay; and the Predicting Generalization in Deep Learning challenge <https://sites.google.com/view/pgdl2020>. The latter case is remarkable: Google research selected our platform Codalab to run their challenge, despite the fact that they bought a competing commercial platform (Kaggle). Codalab was also chosen for the second phase of the TrackML challenge, in collaboration with LHC experiments. The goal was to build an algorithm that quickly reconstructs particle tracks from 3D points left in the silicon detectors [78]. Recent work [55] indicates that the specific issue of extremely poorly separated classes should be addressed through a combination dataset-level inference and iterative refinement of the particle selection.

The **Paris Ile-de-France project** also took off this year. Codalab and the TAU team were selected to organize the industry machine learning challenge series of the Paris REgion. Adrien Pavao, who was the project leader, organized with Dassault aviation a project of “jumeau numerique”, aiming at performing predictive maintenance on airplanes. The Paris Region offered 500K Euros to the winner, a startup, which would then collaborate with Dassault to productize the solution. The challenge took place from February 2021 to May 2021. The results have indicated that, on such problems of time series regression, ensembles of decision trees such as XGBoost dominate over DL methods. This result, which came somewhat as a surprise, mais stem from the massive amount of data that had to be processed. Despite the significant compute power made available (10 GPUs for 2 days), search for optimal architectures was difficult. Results of detailed analyses conducted by a consortium of organizers and participants have been published [35]. This challenge has demonstrated that Codalab is now “industry grade”, and has paved the way to organizing other AI for Industry challenges. We have currently in preparation a challenge targeting carbon-neutrality by 2025, in collaboration with RTE-France under way.

It is important to introduce challenges in ML teaching. This has been done (and is on-going) in I. Guyon’s Master courses [153] : some assignments to Master students are to **design small challenges**,



which are then given to other students in labs, and both types of students seem to love it. Codalab has also been used to implement reinforcement learning homework in the form of challenges by Victor Berger and Heri Rakotoarison for the class of Michèle Sebag. New directions being explored by students in 2021 include tackling fairness and bias in data.

In terms of dissemination, a collaborative book “AI competitions and benchmarks: The science behind the contests ” written by expert challenge organizers is under way and will appear in the Springer series on challenges in machine learning, see <http://www.chalearn.org/books.html>.

## 9 Bilateral contracts and grants with industry

### 9.1 Bilateral contracts with industry

TAU continues its policy about technology transfer, accepting any informal meeting following industrial requests for discussion (and we are happy to be often solicited), and deciding about the follow-up based upon the originality, feasibility and possible impacts of the foreseen research directions, provided they fit our general canvas. This led to the following 3 on-going CIFRE PhDs, with the corresponding side-contracts with the industrial supervisor, one bilateral contract with IFPEN, one recently started bilateral contract with Fujitsu (within the national "accord-cadre" Inria/Fujitsu), plus at least two new CIFRE PhDs, one with our long-lasting partner RTE, and one with Ekimetrics company, with whom we have never worked before), that will start in 2022.

- **CIFRE Thalès** 2018-2021 (45 kEuros), with Thales Teresis, related to Nizam Makdoud’s CIFRE PhD  
Coordinator: Marc Schoenauer and Jérôme Kodjabatchian  
Participants: Nizam Makdoud
- **CIFRE RTE** 2018-2021 (72 kEuros), with Réseau Transport d’Electricité, related to Balthazar Donon’s CIFRE PhD  
Coordinator: Isabelle Guyon and Antoine Marot (RTE)  
Participants: Balthazar Donon, Marc Schoenauer
- **CIFRE FAIR** 2018-2021 (72 kEuros), with Facebook AI Research, related to Leonard Blier’s CIFRE PhD  
Coordinator: Marc Schoenauer and Yann Olliver (Facebook)  
Participants: Guillaume Charpiat, Michèle Sebag, Léonard Blier
- **IFPEN** (Institut Français du Pétrole Energies Nouvelles) 2019-2023 (300 kEuros), to hire an Inria Starting Research Position (Alessandro Bucci) to work in all topics mentioned in Section 3.2 relevant to IFPEN activity.  
Coordinator: Marc Schoenauer  
Participants: Alessandro Bucci, Guillaume Charpiat
- **Fujitsu**, 2021-2022 (200k€), *Causal discovery in high dimensions*  
Coordinator: Marc Schoenauer  
Participants: Shuyu Dong and Michèle Sebag

## 10 Partnerships and cooperations

### 10.1 European initiatives

#### 10.1.1 FP7 and H2020 projects

- H2020 RIA **TRUST-AI** 2020-2024 (475k€) dedicated to building trustworthy explainable AI using Human-centered Genetic Programming.  
Coordinator: Gonçalo Figueira (INESC, Portugal)  
Participants: Marc Schoenauer and Alessandro Leite.

- H2020 ICT48 *European network of AI excellence centres* **TAILOR** 2020-2024 (400 k€).  
Coordinator: Fredrik Heintz, Linköping U., Sweden.  
Participants: Marc Schoenauer (WP2 leader), Isabelle Guyon, and Sébastien Treguer.  
Other Inria teams: Lacodam, Multispeech and ex-Orpailleur.
- H2020 ICT48 CSA **VISION**,  
Coordinator Holger Hoos (Leiden U. The Netherlands)  
Participants: Marc Schoenauer (Inria PI: Joost Geurst, DPE).

## 10.2 National initiatives

### 10.2.1 ANR

- Chaire IA **HUMANIA** 2020-2024 (600kEuros), *Democratizing Artificial Intelligence* (Section 8.1).  
Coordinator: Isabelle Guyon (TAU)  
Participants: Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag, Anne-Catherine Letournel, François Landes.
- **HUSH** 2020-2023 (348k euros), *Human Supply cHain behind smart technologies*.  
Coordinator : Antonio A. Casilli (Telecom Paris)  
Participants: Paola Tubaro
- **SPEED** 2021-2024 (49k€) *Simulating Physical PDEs Efficiently with Deep Learning*  
Coordinator: Lionel Mathelin (LIMSI)  
Participants: Michele Alessandro Bucci, Guillaume Charpiat, Marc Schoenauer.
- **RoDAPoG** 2021-2025 (302k€) *Robust Deep learning for Artificial genomics and Population Genetics*  
Coordinator:Flora Jay,  
Participants: Cyril Furtlehner, Guillaume Charpiat.

### 10.2.2 Others

- **ADEME NEXT** 2017-2021 (675 kEuros). Simulation, calibration, and optimization of regional or urban power grids (Section 4.2).  
ADEME (Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie)  
Coordinator: SME ARTELYS  
Participants Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag, Victor Berger (PhD), Herilalaina Rakotoarison (PhD), Berna Bakir Batu (Post-doc)
- **PIA JobAgile** 2018-2021 (379 kEuros) *Evidence-based Recommendation pour l'Emploi et la Formation* (Section 8.3.1).  
Coordinator: Michèle Sebag and Stéphanie Delestre (Qapa)  
Participants: Philippe Caillou, Isabelle Guyon
- **BOBCAT** The new B-tO-B work intermediaries: comparing business models in the "CollaborATive" digital economy, 2018-2021 (100k euros), funded by DARES (French Ministry of Labor).  
Coordinator : Odile Chagny (IRES)  
Participants: Paola Tubaro
- **IPL HPC-BigData** 2018-2022 (100 kEuros) High Performance Computing and Big Data (Section 8.5.4)  
Coordinator: Bruno Raffin (Inria Grenoble)  
Participants: Guillaume Charpiat, Loris Felardos (PhD)
- **Inria Challenge** (formerly IPL) **HYAIAI**, 2019-2023, *HYbrid Approches for Interpretable Artificial Intelligence*  
Coordinator: Elisa Fromont (Lacodam, Inria Rennes)  
Participants: Marc Schoenauer and Michèle Sebag

- **TRIA** Le TRavail de l'Intelligence Artificielle : éthique et gouvernance de l'automatisation, 2020-2021 (131k euros), funded by MITI-CNRS (CNRS mission for interdisciplinary and transverse initiatives).  
Coordinator : Paola Tubaro  
Participants: A.A. Casilli (Telecom Paris); I. Vasilescu, L. Lamel, Gilles Adda (CNRS-Limsi); N. Seghouani (LRD); T. Allard, David Gross-Amblard (Irisa); J.L. Molina (UAB Barcelona); J.A. Ortega (Univ. València); J. Posada (Univ. Toronto)
- **Les vraies voix de l'Intelligence Artificielle**, 2021-2023 (29k euros), funded by Maison des Sciences de l'Homme Paris-Saclay.  
Coordinator : Paola Tubaro  
Participants: A.A. Casilli (Telecom Paris); I. Vasilescu, L. Lamel, Gilles Adda (CNRS-LISN); J.L. Molina (UAB Barcelona); J.A. Ortega (Univ. València)
- **Inria Challenge OceanAI** 2021-2025, *AI, Data, Models for a Blue Economy*  
Coordinator: Nayat Sanchez Pi (Inria Chile)  
Participants: Marc Schoenauer, Michèle Sebag and Shiyang Yan

## 11 Dissemination

### 11.1 Promoting scientific activities

#### 11.1.1 Scientific events: organisation

##### Member of the organizing committees

- Marc Schoenauer - Steering Committee, Parallel Problem Solving from Nature (PPSN); Steering Committee, Learning and Intelligent Optimization (LION).
- Cecile Germain - Steering committee of the Learning to Discover program of Institut Pascal (originally 2020, postponed to 2022)
- Flora Jay - Organizer of Thematic School "Graph as models in life sciences: Machine learning and integrative approaches" (supported by Digicosme)

#### 11.1.2 Scientific events: selection

##### Chair of conference program committees

- Flora Jay, co-chair at Proben, conference in Probabilistic Modeling In Genomics, Apr 2021
- Marc Schoenauer, Area Chair, ECML/PKDD 2021
- Michele Sebag, Senior Area Chair IJCAI 2021, Area Chair NeurIPS 2021, Area Chair ICML 2021

**Reviewer** All TAU members are reviewers of the main conferences in their respective fields of expertise.

#### 11.1.3 Journal

##### Member of the editorial boards

- Isabelle Guyon - Action editor, Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR); series editor, Springer series Challenges in Machine Learning (CiML).
- Marc Schoenauer - Advisory Board, Evolutionary Computation Journal, MIT Press, and Genetic Programming and Evolutionary Machines, Springer Verlag; Action editor, Journal of Machine Learning Research (JMLR); Editorial Board, ACM Transaction on Evolutionary Learning and Optimization (TELO).
- Michèle Sebag - Editorial Board, Machine Learning, Springer Verlag; ACM Transactions on Evolutionary Learning and Optimization.

- Paola Tubaro: Sociology, *Revue française de sociologie*, *Journal of Economic Methodology*, *Lecturas de Economia*.

**Reviewer - reviewing activities** All members of the team reviewed numerous articles for the most prestigious journals in their respective fields of expertise.

#### 11.1.4 Invited talks

- Guillaume Charpiat, *Input similarity from the neural network perspective*, IHES annual workshop "Journée statistique et informatique de Paris-Saclay", 5 February 2021
- Guillaume Charpiat, *Réseaux de neurones profonds pour la segmentation et le recalage d'images satellitaires*, au séminaire "L'intelligence artificielle en cartographie", Maison des Sciences de l'Homme Val de Loire, projet Veccar, 8 April 2021
- Flora Jay and Aurélien Decelle, *Creating artificial human genomes using generative neural networks*, Synthetic Data for Health Symposium (CIFAR, Ivado, MILA), Canada/online, 25 Nov 2021
- Flora Jay, *Reconstructing past demography and augmenting the diversity of publicly available genomes with exchangeable and generative neural networks*, GDR BIM, Lyon, 24 Nov 2021
- Flora Jay, *Factor analysis of ancient population genomic samples*, Ancient DNA symposium Institut Pasteur, Paris, 4 Nov 2021
- Flora Jay, *Generative and exchangeable neural networks for population genetics* 14th NICE-seq Seminar - AI & Genomics- 17 Sept 2021
- Flora Jay, symposium Machine-learning applications in population genetics and phylogenomics, SMBE congress 4-8 July 2021
- Flora Jay, mini-symposium AI and data science for biology. i-Bio Initiative and SCAI Institute, Paris 23 June 2021
- Flora Jay, *Neural networks for population genetics: demographic inference and data generation* Probgén conference 14-16 April 2021
- Flora Jay, Seminars at UHPalaeopopgen webinar series (27 Jan 21); Technical University Munich, Germany (4 Feb 21); Imperial College London (11 Feb 21)
- Marc Schoenauer, *Communication about AI: Distinguish real dangers from Irrational fears*, Science&You, Metz 16 Nov. 2021
- Marc Schoenauer, *Explainable Reinforcement Learning with Multi-Objective Genetic Programming in the TRUST-AI project*, DATAIA wkp "Safety and AI", 13 Dec. 2021
- Michele Sebag, *Analyser, comprendre le monde: Complémentarité entre apprentissage et visualisation*, with Jean-Daniel Fekete, AFIA-IHM, 11 Mars 2021
- Michele Sebag, *Towards causal modeling of nutritional outcomes*, Univ. Ulster, June 28, 2021
- Michele Sebag, *Causal Modeling & Some Applications*, kickoff meeting of the Oceania Challenge, July 1st, 2021
- Michele Sebag, *Synthèse et position*, Colloque interdisciplinaire *Qu'est-ce qui échappe à l'IA?*, LINX, 21 septembre 2021
- Michele Sebag, *Extremely privated supervised learning*, ERCIM-JST, 8 December 2021
- Paola Tubaro, *Networks in the digital organization*, keynote, European Social networks Conference (EUSN 2021), Naples, 9 September 2021

- Paola Tubaro, *Ethical issues of AI*, inaugural workshop of the SeCoIA Deal European project, 9 December 2021
- Paola Tubaro, *Learners in the loop: The hidden human contribution to artificial intelligence*, Resituating Learning Conference, University of Siegen, 29 October 2021
- Paola Tubaro, *La visualisation du réseau personnel*, Catholic University of Louvain, 25 June 2021
- Paola Tubaro, *El trabajo de la inteligencia artificial*, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 30 April 2021
- Paola Tubaro, *Algorithmes, inégalités, et les « humains dans la boucle »*, Académie des technologies, 10 March 2021

#### 11.1.5 Leadership within the scientific community

- Guillaume Charpiat: creation and co-animation of 2 DigiCosme working groups on the Saclay plateau and beyond: vrAI (verification and robustness of AI) and SNAP (simulations numériques et apprentissage)
- Isabelle Guyon: Member of the board, **NeurIPS**; Member of the Board, **JEDI, Joint European Disruptive Initiative**; President and co-founder, **ChaLearn, non-for-profit organization** dedicated to the organization of challenges.
- Marc Schoenauer: Advisory Board, **ACM-SIGEVO, Special Interest Group on Evolutionary Computation**; Founding President (since 2015), **SPECIES, Society for the Promotion of Evolutionary Computation In Europe and Surroundings**, that organizes the yearly series of conferences EvoStar.
- Michèle Sebag: Executive Committee, **Institut de Convergence DataIA**; Member of IRSN Scientific Council.

#### 11.1.6 Scientific expertise

- Guillaume Charpiat: CRCN/IFSP hiring committee at INRIA Saclay
- Guillaume Charpiat: MdC hiring committee at LISN, Paris-Saclay (MCF 1632)
- Guillaume Charpiat: member of the Commission Scientifique (CS) at INRIA Saclay (PhD/post-docs grant allocations)
- Guillaume Charpiat: Jean Zay (GENCI/IDRIS) committee member for resource allocation (GPU) demand expertise
- Flora Jay, CR hiring committee, INRAE Toulouse
- Flora Jay, MdC hiring committee, LIX
- Marc Schoenauer, Scientific Advisory Board, BCAM, Bilbao, Spain
- Marc Schoenauer, "Conseil Scientifique", IFPEN
- Marc Schoenauer, "Conseil Scientifique", Mines Paritech
- Marc Schoenauer, "Commission Recherche", Université Paris-Diderot
- Michele Sebag, UDOPIA jury (PhDs)
- Michele Sebag, FNRS (PhDs and Post-docs)
- Michele Sebag, professorship hiring committee, Grenoble Alpes
- Michele Sebag, HCERES LS2N - Laboratoire des sciences du numérique à Nantes, Mai 2021

- Paola Tubaro, MdC hiring committee, University of Lille
- Paola Tubaro, professorship hiring committee, Sorbonne Université
- Paola Tubaro, associate professorship hiring committee, University of Greenwich (UK)
- Paola Tubaro, assistant professorship hiring committee, University of Insubria (IT)

#### 11.1.7 Research administration

- Guillaume Charpiat: head of the Data Science department at LISN, Université Paris-Saclay
- Michele Sebag, elected member of Lab. Council, LISN, Université Paris-Saclay
- Paola Tubaro, member of Local Committee of Institut Pascal, Université Paris-Saclay

## 11.2 Teaching - Supervision - Juries

### 11.2.1 Teaching

- Licence : Philippe Caillou, Computer Science for students in Accounting and Management, 192h, L1, IUT Sceaux, Univ. Paris Sud.
- Licence : François Landes, Mathematics for Computer Scientists, 51h, L2, Univ. Paris-Sud.
- Licence : François Landes, Introduction to Statistical Learning, 88h, L2, Univ. Paris-Sud.
- Licence : Isabelle Guyon: Introduction to Data Science, L1, Univ. Paris-Sud.
- Licence : Isabelle Guyon, Project: Resolution of mini-challenges (created by M2 students), L2, Univ. Paris-Sud.
- Master : François Landes, Machine Learning, 34h, M1 Polytech, U. Paris-sud.
- Master : François Landes, A first look inside the ML black box, 25h, M1 Recherche (AI track), U. Paris-Sud.
- Master : Machine Learning, 28h, M2 Univ. Paris-sud, physics department
- Master : Guillaume Charpiat, Deep Learning in Practice, 21h, M2 Recherche, Centrale-Supelec + MVA.
- Master : Guillaume Charpiat, Graphical Models: Discrete Inference and Learning, 9h, M2 Recherche, Centrale-Supelec + MVA.
- Master : Guillaume Charpiat, Information Theory, 14h, M1 IA Paris-Sud.
- Diplôme universitaire: Guillaume Charpiat, Introduction au Deep Learning, 1h30, DU IA, CHU Lille.
- Master : Isabelle Guyon, Project: Creation of mini-challenges, M2, Univ. Paris-Sud.
- Master : Michèle Sebag, Deep Learning, 4h; Reinforcement Learning, 12h; M2 Recherche, U. Paris-Sud.
- Master : Paola Tubaro, Sociology of social networks, 24h, M2, EHESS/ENS.
- Master : Paola Tubaro, Social and economic network science, 24h, M2, ENSAE.
- Master: Paola Tubaro, Ethics of social and digital data, 12h, Université de Toulouse Jean Jaurès
- Master : Flora Jay, Population genetics inference, 11h, M2, U PSaclay.
- Master : Flora Jay, Machine Learning in Genomics, 6h, M2, PSL.

- Master : Isabelle Guyon, Coordination du M1 et M2 [AI], U PSaclay.
- Master : Isabelle Guyon, M1 [AI] project A class (challenge organization)
- Master : Isabelle Guyon, M2 [AI] Advanced Optimization and Automated Machine Learning.
- INRIA-DFKI summer school on AI: Guillaume Charpiat, Formal verification of deep learning: theory and practice, July 23rd.
- INRIA-DFKI summer school on AI: Michele Sebag, Causal Learning, July 2021 (3h).
- Fall school : Flora Jay, Inference using full genome data, 7h, TUM, Germany.

### 11.2.2 Supervision

- PhD - Victor BERGER, *Variational Anytime Simulator*, 13/10/2021, Michèle Sebag
- PhD - Tony BONNAIRE, *Reconstruction de la toile cosmique*, 16/10/2021, Nabila Aghanim (Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale) and Aurélien Decelle (thèse de l'IAS [89])
- PhD - Julien GIRARD, *Vérification et validation des techniques d'apprentissage automatique*, 9/11/2021, Zakarian Chihani (CEA) and Guillaume Charpiat
- PhD - Zhengying LIU, *Automation du design des reseaux de neurones profonds*, 9/11/2021, Isabelle Guyon and Michèle Sebag
- PhD in progress - Guillaume BIED, *Valorisation des Données pour la Recherche d'Emploi*, 1/10/2019, Bruno Crepon (CREST-ENSAE) and Philippe Caillou
- PhD in progress - Leonard BLIER, *Vers une architecture stable pour les systèmes d'apprentissage par renforcement*, 1/09/2018, Yann Ollivier (Facebook AI Research, Paris) and Marc Schoenauer
- PhD in progress - Balthazar DONON, *Deep Statistical Solvers and Power Systems Applications*, 1/10/2018, Isabelle Guyon, Marc Schoenauer, and Rémy Clément (RTE)
- PhD in progress - Loris FELARDOS, *Neural networks for molecular dynamics simulations*, 1/10/2018, Guillaume Charpiat, Jérôme Hénin (IBPC) and Bruno Raffin (InriAlpes)
- PhD in progress - Giancarlo FISSORE, *Statistical physics analysis of generative models*, 1/10/2017, Aurélien Decelle and Cyril Furtlehner
- PhD in progress - Jérémy GUEZ, *Statistical inference of cultural transmission of reproductive success*, 1/10/2019, Evelyne Heyer (MNHN) and Flora Jay
- PhD in progress - Armand LACOMBE, *Recommandation de Formations: Application de l'apprentissage causal dans le domaine des ressources humaines*, 1/10/2019, Michele Sebag and Philippe Caillou
- PhD in progress - Wenzhuo LIU, *Machine Learning for Numerical Simulation of PDEs*, from 1/11/2019, Mouadh Yagoubi (IRT SystemX) and Marc Schoenauer
- PhD in progress - Emmanuel MENIER, *Complementary Deep Reduced Order Model*, from 1/9/2020, Michele Alessandro Bucci and Marc Schoenauer
- PhD in progress - Mathieu NASTORG, *Machine Learning enhanced resolution of Navier-Stokes equations on general unstructured grids*, 4/1/2021, Guillaume Charpiat and Michele Alessandro Bucci.
- PhD in progress - Adrien PAVAO, *Theory and practice of challenge organization*, from 1/03/2020, Isabelle Guyon.
- PhD in progress - Herilalaina RAKOTOARISON, *Automatic Algorithm Configuration for Power Grid Optimization*, 1/10/2017, Marc Schoenauer and Michèle Sebag

- PhD in progress - Théophile SANCHEZ, *Reconstructing the past: deep learning for population genetics*, 1/10/2017, Guillaume Charpiat and Flora Jay
- PhD in progress - Vincenzo SCHIMMENTI, *Eartquake Predictions: Machine Learned Features using Expert Models Simulations*, from 1/11/2020, François Landes and Alberto Rosso (LPTMS)
- PhD in progress - Marion ULLMO, *Reconstruction de la toile cosmique*, from 1/10/2018, Nabila Aghanim (Institut d'Astrophysique Spatiale) and Aurélien Decelle
- PhD - Elinor WAHAL, *Micro-work for AI in health applications*, from 1/1/2020 (renounced 30/11/2021), Paola Tubaro
- PhD in progress - Assia Wirth, *Coloniality of the production of facial recognition technologies*, started 01/04/2021, Paola Tubaro

### 11.2.3 Juries

- Flora Jay: PhD, E Kerdoncuff (Sorbonne Université) *Méthodes d'inférence démographique récente utilisant les polymorphismes et leur liaison génétique* ; PhD, K Shimagaki (Sciences Sorbonne Université) *Advanced statistical modeling and variable selection for protein sequences* ; PhD, R Menegaux (PSL Université, Mines ParisTech) *Continuous embeddings for large-scale machine learning with DNA sequences*
- Marc Schoenauer, PhD Cornero Maceda, LIMSI ; PhD Filipe Guerreiro Assunção, U. Coimbra, Portugal ; PhD committee Kaitlin Mailhe, Université Toulouse 1 Capitole
- Michele Sebag, HdR Philippe Esling, IRCAM ; PhD Luciano di Palma, LIX ; PhD Jean-Baptiste Gouray, Univ. d'Artois
- Paola Tubaro: PhD, A. Bouadjo-Boulic (Université Toulouse I Capitole), *Génération multi-agents de réseaux sociaux*
- Paola Tubaro: PhD, N. Révai (Université de Strasbourg), *The dynamics of teachers' professional knowledge in social networks*

## 11.3 Popularization

### 11.3.1 Internal or external Inria responsibilities

- Marc Schoenauer, Deputy Research Director in charge of AI
- Marc Schoenauer, sherpa for Inria as pilot institution of the PEPR-IA (together with CEA and CNRS)

### 11.3.2 Articles and contents

- Flora Jay, entretien radiophonique, *Génomique et IA : les liaisons fructueuses*, La méthode scientifique, France Culture, 12 Jan 2021
- Flora Jay, entretien, *Sur la piste des génomes artificiels*, par Sebastián Escalón, Journal du CNRS, 22/11/2021
- *Une intelligence artificielle fabrique de l'ADN pour la première fois* par Sofia Gavilan, Sciences et Vie, 22/02/2021
- *La première intelligence artificielle capable de créer des génomes humains* par Camille Gaubert, Sciences et Avenir, 12/02/2021
- Michèle Sebag, vidéo, exposition sur l'intelligence artificielle (Institut Henri Poincaré, Maison des Mathématiques et de l'Informatique de Lyon), 17 juin 2021



### 11.3.3 Interventions

- Flora Jay, intervention à l'école d'été de Paris-Saclay filles en science à destination de collégiennes et lycéennes, 22 et 29 Jun 2021

## 12 Scientific production

### 12.1 Major publications

- [1] C. Adam-Bourdarios, G. Cowan, C. Germain-Renaud, I. Guyon, B. Kégl and D. Rousseau. 'The Higgs Machine Learning Challenge'. In: *Journal of Physics: Conference Series* 664.7 (Dec. 2015). DOI: [10.1088/1742-6596/664/7/072015](https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/664/7/072015). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01745998>.
- [2] L. Da Costa, Á. Fialho, M. Schoenauer and M. Sebag. 'Adaptive Operator Selection with Dynamic Multi-Armed Bandits'. In: *Proc. Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO)*. ACM-SIGEVO 10-years Impact Award. ACM, 2008, pp. 913–920. DOI: [10.1145/1389095.1389272](https://doi.org/10.1145/1389095.1389272). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00278542>.
- [3] C. Furtlehner and A. Decelle. 'Cycle-based Cluster Variational Method for Direct and Inverse Inference'. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 164.3 (Aug. 2016), pp. 531–574. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01214155>.
- [4] S. Gelly, M. Schoenauer, M. Sebag, O. Teytaud, L. Kocsis, D. Silver and C. Szepesvari. 'The Grand Challenge of Computer Go: Monte Carlo Tree Search and Extensions'. In: *Communications- ACM* 55.3 (2012), pp. 106–113. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00695370>.
- [5] O. Goudet, D. Kalainathan, P. Caillou, D. Lopez-Paz, I. Guyon and M. Sebag. 'Learning Functional Causal Models with Generative Neural Networks'. In: *Explainable and Interpretable Models in Computer Vision and Machine Learning*. Springer Series on Challenges in Machine Learning. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1709.05321>. Springer International Publishing, 2018. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-319-98131-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-98131-4). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01649153>.
- [6] T. Lucas, C. Tallec, J. Verbeek and Y. Ollivier. 'Mixed batches and symmetric discriminators for GAN training'. In: *ICML - 35th International Conference on Machine Learning*. Stockholm, Sweden, July 2018. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01791126>.
- [7] E. Maggiori, Y. Tarabalka, G. Charpiat and P. Alliez. 'Convolutional Neural Networks for Large-Scale Remote Sensing Image Classification'. In: *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing* 55.2 (2017), pp. 645–657. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01369906>.
- [8] M. Misir and M. Sebag. 'Alors: An algorithm recommender system'. In: *Artificial Intelligence* 244 (2017). Published on-line Dec. 2016, pp. 291–314. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01419874>.
- [9] Y. Ollivier, L. Arnold, A. Auger and N. Hansen. 'Information-Geometric Optimization Algorithms: A Unifying Picture via Invariance Principles'. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 18.18 (2017), pp. 1–65. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01515898>.
- [10] X. Zhang, C. Furtlehner, C. Germain-Renaud and M. Sebag. 'Data Stream Clustering with Affinity Propagation'. In: *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 26.7 (2014), p. 1. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00862941>.

### 12.2 Publications of the year

#### International journals

- [11] M. A. BUCCI, S. Cherubini, J.-C. LOISEAU and J.-C. ROBINET. 'Influence of freestream turbulence on the flow over a wall roughness'. In: *Physical Review Fluids* 6.6 (2021), p. 063903. DOI: [10.1103/physrevfluids.6.063903](https://doi.org/10.1103/physrevfluids.6.063903). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03268719>.
- [12] P. Caillou, J. Renault, J.-D. Fekete, A.-C. Letournel and M. Sebag. 'Cartolabe: A Web-Based Scalable Visualization of Large Document Collections'. In: *IEEE Computer Graphics and Applications* 41.2 (Apr. 2021), pp. 76–88. DOI: [10.1109/MCG.2020.3033401](https://doi.org/10.1109/MCG.2020.3033401). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02499006>.

- [13] J. Cury, B. C. Haller, G. Achaz and F. Jay. ‘Simulation of bacterial populations with SLiM’. In: *Peer Community Journal* (13th Jan. 2022). DOI: [10.24072/pcjournal.72](https://doi.org/10.24072/pcjournal.72). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03152153>.
- [14] A. Decelle and C. Furtlehner. ‘Exact Training of Restricted Boltzmann Machines on Intrinsically Low Dimensional Data’. In: *Physical Review Letters* (6th Sept. 2021). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03432350>.
- [15] A. Decelle, T. Bonnaire and N. Aghanim. ‘Cascade of phase transitions for multiscale clustering’. In: *Physical Review E* 103.1 (Jan. 2021). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.103.012105](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.103.012105). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03477663>.
- [16] A. Decelle, T. Bonnaire and N. Aghanim. ‘Regularization of Mixture Models for Robust Principal Graph Learning’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (13th Nov. 2021), pp. 1–1. DOI: [10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3124973](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3124973). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03477742>.
- [17] A. El Baz, I. Guyon, Z. Liu, J. N. Van Rijn, S. Treguer and J. Vanschoren. ‘Advances in MetaDL: AAAI 2021 challenge and workshop’. In: *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research* (2021). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03550011>.
- [18] C. Furtlehner, J.-M. Lasgouttes, A. Attanasi, M. Pezzulla and G. Gentile. ‘Short-term Forecasting of Urban Traffic using Spatio-Temporal Markov Field’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Transportation Systems* (2021), p. 10. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03285664>.
- [19] Z. Liu, A. Pavao, Z. Xu, S. Escalera, F. Ferreira, I. Guyon, S. Hong, F. Hutter, R. Ji, J. C. S. Jacques Junior, G. Li, M. Lindauer, Z. Luo, M. Madadi, T. Nierhoff, K. Niu, C. Pan, D. Stoll, S. Treguer, J. Wang, P. Wang, C. Wu, Y. Xiong, A. Zela and Y. Zhang. ‘Winning solutions and post-challenge analyses of the ChaLearn AutoDL challenge 2019’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* (23rd Apr. 2021). DOI: [10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3075372](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3075372). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02957135>.
- [20] L. Meunier, H. Rakotoarison, P. K. Wong, B. Roziere, J. Rapin, O. Teytaud, A. Moreau and C. Doerr. ‘Black-Box Optimization Revisited: Improving Algorithm Selection Wizards through Massive Benchmarking’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Evolutionary Computation* (2021). DOI: [10.1109/TEVC.2021.3108185](https://doi.org/10.1109/TEVC.2021.3108185). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03154019>.
- [21] P. Tubaro. ‘Disembedded or Deeply Embedded? A Multi-Level Network Analysis of Online Labour Platforms’. In: *Sociology* 55.5 (1st Oct. 2021), pp. 927–944. DOI: [10.1177/0038038520986082](https://doi.org/10.1177/0038038520986082). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03127861>.
- [22] P. Tubaro. ‘Whose results are these anyway? Reciprocity and the ethics of “giving back” after social network research’. In: *Social Networks. Recent ethical challenges in social network analysis* 67 (Aug. 2021), pp. 65–73. DOI: [10.1016/j.socnet.2019.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2019.10.003). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02360709>.
- [23] P. Tubaro and A. A. Casilli. ‘Who bears the burden of a pandemic? COVID-19 and the transfer of risk to digital platform workers’. In: *American Behavioral Scientist* (15th Jan. 2022). DOI: [10.1177/00027642211066027](https://doi.org/10.1177/00027642211066027). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03369291>.
- [24] P. Tubaro, M. Coville, C. Le Ludec and A. A. Casilli. ‘Hidden inequalities: the gendered labour of women on micro-tasking platforms’. In: *Internet Policy Review. The gender of the platform economy* 11.1 (2022). DOI: [10.14763/2022.1.1623](https://doi.org/10.14763/2022.1.1623). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03551747>.
- [25] P. Tubaro, L. Ryan, A. A. Casilli and A. D’Angelo. ‘Social network analysis: New ethical approaches through collective reflexivity. Introduction to the special issue of Social Networks’. In: *Social Networks. Recent ethical challenges in social network analysis* 67 (15th Aug. 2021), pp. 1–8. DOI: [10.1016/j.socnet.2020.12.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socnet.2020.12.001). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03090287>.
- [26] M. Ullmo, A. Decelle and N. Aghanim. ‘Encoding large scale cosmological structure with Generative Adversarial Networks’. In: *Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A* (12th July 2021). DOI: [10.1051/0004-6361/202039866](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/202039866). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03034838>.

- [27] B. Yelmen, A. Decelle, L. Ongaro, D. Marnetto, C. Tallec, F. Montinaro, C. Furtlehner, L. Pagani and F. Jay. ‘Creating artificial human genomes using generative neural networks’. In: *PLoS Genetics* (4th Feb. 2021). DOI: [10.1371/journal.pgen.1009303](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1009303). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03149930>.

#### International peer-reviewed conferences

- [28] A. Boisbunon, C. Fanara, I. Grenet, J. Daeden, A. Vighi and M. Schoenauer. ‘Zoetrope Genetic Programming for Regression’. In: GECCO 2021. Lille, France: ACM press, 10th July 2021, pp. 776–784. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03155694>.
- [29] R. Bresson, J. Cohen, E. Hüllermeier, C. Labreuche and M. Sebag. ‘On the Identifiability of Hierarchical Decision Models’. In: 18th International Conference on Principles of Knowledge Representation and Reasoning (KR-2021). Online, France: International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, 12th Nov. 2021, pp. 151–162. DOI: [10.24963/kr.2021/15](https://doi.org/10.24963/kr.2021/15). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03453996>.
- [30] A. Decelle, C. Furtlehner and B. Seoane. ‘Equilibrium and non-Equilibrium regimes in the learning of Restricted Boltzmann Machines’. In: NeurIPS 2021. Proceedings NeurIPS 2021. Vancouver, United States, 6th Dec. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03518796>.
- [31] J. Dreo, A. Liefoghe, S. Verel, M. Schoenauer, J. J. Merelo, A. Quemy, B. Bouvier and J. Gmys. ‘Paradiseo: From a Modular Framework for Evolutionary Computation to the Automated Design of Metaheuristics: 22 Years of Paradiseo’. In: *2021 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion*. GECCO 2021 - Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference. 2021 Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference Companion. Lille / Virtual, France: ACM, 10th July 2021, pp. 1522–1530. DOI: [10.1145/3449726.3463276](https://doi.org/10.1145/3449726.3463276). URL: <https://hal-pasteur.archives-ouvertes.fr/pasteur-03220556>.
- [32] R. Egele, P. Balaprakash, V. Vishwanath, I. Guyon and Z. Liu. ‘AgEBO-Tabular: Joint Neural Architecture and Hyperparameter Search with Autotuned Data-Parallel Training for Tabular Data’. In: SC ’21: Proceedings of the International Conference for High Performance Computing, Networking, Storage and Analysis. St. Louis, Missouri, United States, Nov. 2021. DOI: [10.1145/3458817.3476203](https://doi.org/10.1145/3458817.3476203). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02973288>.
- [33] K. Gasnikova, P. Caillou, O. Allais and M. Sebag. ‘Towards causal modeling of nutritional outcomes’. In: Causal Analysis Workshop Series (CAWS) 2021. Vol. 5. 19. online, United States, 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03620867>.
- [34] M. H. Nguyen, N. Grinsztajn, I. Guyon and L. Sun-Hosoya. ‘MetaREVEAL: RL-based Meta-learning from Learning Curves’. In: Workshop on Interactive Adaptive Learning co-located with European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases (ECML PKDD 2021). Bilbao/Virtual, Spain, 13th Sept. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03502358>.
- [35] A. Pavao, I. Guyon, N. Stéphane, F. Lebeau, M. Ghienne, L. Platon, T. Barbagelata, P. Escamilla, S. Mzali, M. Liao, S. Lassonde, A. Braun, S. B. Amor, L. Cucu-Grosjean, M. Wehaiba, A. Bar-Hen, A. Gogonel, A. B. Cheikh, M. Duda, J. Laugel, M. Marauri, M. Souissi, T. Lecerf, M. Elion, S. Tabti, J. Budynek, P. Le Bouteiller, A. Penon, R.-D. Lasserri, J. Ripoche and T. Epalle. ‘Aircraft Numerical "Twin": A Time Series Regression Competition’. In: ICMLA 2021 - 20th IEEE International Conference on Machine Learning and Applications. Pasadena / Virtual, United States, 13th Dec. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03463307>.
- [36] A. Pavao, M. Vaccaro and I. Guyon. ‘Judging competitions and benchmarks: a candidate election approach’. In: ESANN 2021 - 29th European Symposium on Artificial Neural Networks. Bruges/Virtual, Belgium, 6th Oct. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03367857>.
- [37] J. Pedersen, R. Muñoz Gómez, J. Huang, H. Sun, W.-W. Tu and I. Guyon. ‘LTU Attacker for Membership Inference’. In: Third AAAI Workshop on Privacy-Preserving Artificial Intelligence (PPAI-22). virtual, France, 2022. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03522633>.

- [38] H. Rakotoarison, L. Milijaona, A. Rasoanaivo, M. Sebag and M. Schoenauer. ‘Learning Meta-features for AutoML’. In: ICLR 2022 - International Conference on Learning Representations. Virtual, United States, 26th Apr. 2022. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03583789>.
- [39] O. Shrit, D. Filliat and M. Sebag. ‘Iterative Learning for Model Reactive Control: Application to Autonomous Multi-agent Control’. In: 7th International Conference on Automation, Robotics and Applications (ICARA). Prague, France: IEEE, 4th Feb. 2021, pp. 140–146. DOI: [10.1109/ICARA51699.2021.9376454](https://doi.org/10.1109/ICARA51699.2021.9376454). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03453997>.
- [40] G. Zervakis, E. Vincent, M. Couceiro and M. Schoenauer. ‘On Refining BERT Contextualized Embeddings using Semantic Lexicons’. In: ECML PKDD 2021 - Machine Learning with Symbolic Methods and Knowledge Graphs co-located with European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases. <http://ceur-ws.org/Vol-2997/paper4.pdf>. Online, Spain, 1st Nov. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03318571>.

### National peer-reviewed Conferences

- [41] J. Girard-Satabin, A. Varasse, G. Charpiat, Z. Chihani and M. Schoenauer. ‘Partitionnement en régions linéaires pour la vérification formelle de réseaux de neurones’. In: Journées Francophones des Langages Applicatifs. Saint Médard d’Excideuil, France, 1st Apr. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03127853>.
- [42] A. Lacombe, S. Jetley and M. Sebag. ‘EXTremely PRivate supervised Learning’. In: Conférence d’APprentissage - CAP. St-Etienne, France, 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03620873>.

### Conferences without proceedings

- [43] G. Bied, E. Perennes, V. A. Naya, P. Caillou, B. Crépon, C. Gaillac and M. Sebag. ‘Congestion-Avoiding Job Recommendation with Optimal Transport’. In: FEAST workshop ECML-PKDD 2021. Bilbao, Spain, 17th Sept. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03540316>.
- [44] T. Guégan, M. A. Bucci, O. Semeraro, L. Cordier and L. Mathelin. ‘Closed-loop control of complex systems using deep Reinforcement Learning’. In: Euromech colloquium on Machine learning methods for turbulent separated flows. Paris, France, 16th June 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03451355>.
- [45] A. Lacan and I. Guyon. ‘ML-CI: Machine Learning Confidence Intervals for Covid-19 forecasts’. In: BayLearn - Machine Learning Symposium 2021. San Francisco, United States, 28th Oct. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03501101>.
- [46] V. A. Naya, G. Bied, P. Caillou, B. Crépon, C. Gaillac, E. Pérennes and M. Sebag. ‘Designing labor market recommender systems: the importance of job seeker preferences and competition’. In: 4. IDSC of IZA Workshop: Matching Workers and Jobs Online - New Developments and Opportunities for Social Science and Practice. Online, France, 8th Oct. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03540319>.

### Scientific books

- [47] N. Sanchez-Pi, L. Marti, J. Salomon, J. Sainte-Marie, O. Bernard, M. Sebag, M. Schoenauer, A. Maass, D. Eveillard, A. Abreu, C. de Vargas and P. A. Marquet. *OcéanIA: AI, Data, and Models for Understanding the Ocean and Climate Change*. 1st July 2021, pp. 1–64. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03274323>.

### Scientific book chapters

- [48] O. Shrit and M. Sebag. ‘I2SL: Learn How to Swarm Autonomous Quadrotors Using Iterative Imitation Supervised Learning’. In: *Progress in Artificial Intelligence*. Vol. 12981. Lecture Notes in Computer Science. Springer International Publishing, 3rd Sept. 2021, pp. 418–432. DOI: [10.1007/978-3-030-86230-5\\_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-86230-5_33). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03399149>.

### Edition (books, proceedings, special issue of a journal)

- [49] F. De Vieilleville, S. May, A. Lagrange, A. Dupuis, R. Ruiloba, F. Ngolè Mboula, T. Bitard-Feildel, E. Nogues, C. Larroche, J. Mazel et al., eds. *Proceedings of the Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Defence 2020*. CAID 2020 - Second Conference on Artificial Intelligence for Defence. Rennes, France, Apr. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03206297>.
- [50] H. Sun, W.-W. Tu and I. Guyon. *OmniPrint: A Configurable Printed Character Synthesizer*. 2022. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03506905>.
- [51] P. Tubaro, L. Ryan, A. A. Casilli and A. D'Angelo. *Recent ethical challenges in social network analysis*. Vol. 67. Research on social networks raises formidable ethical issues that often fall outside existing regulations and guidelines. Even standard informed consent and anonymization are difficult to implement with data about personal relationships. Today, state-of-the-art tools to collect, handle, and store personal data expose both researchers and participants to new risks. Political, military and corporate interests interfere with scientific priorities and practices, while legal and social ramifications of studies of personal ties and human networks come to the surface. The seven papers that form the special issue explore different aspects of ethical issues in contemporary social networks research. The special issue also includes a broad introduction by the guest editors and two invited comments. Elsevier, 15th Aug. 2021, pp. 1–76. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03331307>.

### Doctoral dissertations and habilitation theses

- [52] V. Berger. 'Deep Latent Variable Models : from properties to structures'. Université Paris-Saclay, 13th Oct. 2021. URL: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03528577>.
- [53] J. Girard-Satabin. 'Verification and validation of Machine Learning techniques'. Université Paris-Saclay, 9th Nov. 2021. URL: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03547545>.
- [54] Z. Liu. 'Automated Deep Learning : Principles and Practice'. Université Paris-Saclay, 9th Nov. 2021. URL: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03464519>.

### Reports & preprints

- [55] S. Amrouche, L. Basara, P. Calafiura, D. Emeliyanov, V. Estrade, S. Farrell, C. Germain, T. Golling, S. Gorbunov, H. Gray, I. Guyon, M. Hushchyn, V. Innocente, M. Kiehn, M. Kunze, E. Moyses, D. Rousseau, A. Salzburger, A. Ustyuzhanin, V. Vava Gligorov, J.-R. Vlimant and Y. Yilmaz. *The Tracking Machine Learning challenge : Throughput phase*. 11th May 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03224695>.
- [56] C. Belletti, D. Erdsiek, U. Laitenberger and P. Tubaro. *Crowdworking in France and Germany*. ZEW-Kurzexpertise Nr. 21-09. Leibniz-Zentrum für Europäische Wirtschaftsforschung (ZEW), 18th Oct. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03468022>.
- [57] V. Berger and M. Sebag. *Boltzmann Tuning of Generative Models*. 9th Apr. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03193948>.
- [58] L. Blier, C. Tallec and Y. Ollivier. *Learning Successor States and Goal-Dependent Values: A Mathematical Viewpoint*. 19th Jan. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03151901>.
- [59] T. Bonnaire, N. Aghanim, J. Kuruville and A. Decelle. *Cosmology with cosmic web environments I. Real-space power spectra*. 13th Dec. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-0347764>.
- [60] M. A. Bucci, O. Semeraro, A. Allauzen, S. Chibbaro and L. Mathelin. *Leveraging the structure of dynamical systems for data-driven modeling*. 21st Dec. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03498482>.

- [61] O. Chagny, A. A. Casilli, D. Chartier, T. d’Avezac, F. Pailler, P. Rothey, P. Tubaro, M. Cornet, M. Joulin and S. Kagambega. *Les Nouveaux Intermédiaires du Travail B2B: Comparer les modèles d’affaires dans l’économie numérique collaborative*. 27. DARES - Direction de l’animation de la recherche, des études et des statistiques du Ministère du travail, de l’emploi et de l’insertion, 15th Mar. 2022. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03615806>.
- [62] G. De Bie, H. Rakotoarison, G. Peyré and M. Sebag. *Distribution-Based Invariant Deep Networks for Learning Meta-Features*. 26th Feb. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03153200>.
- [63] N. Díaz-Rodríguez, R. Binkytė-Sadauskienė, W. Bakkali, S. Bookseller, P. Tubaro, A. Bacevicius and R. Chatila. *Questioning causality on sex, gender and COVID-19, and identifying bias in large-scale data-driven analyses: the Bias Priority Recommendations and Bias Catalog for Pandemics*. 18th May 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03228983>.
- [64] R. Egele, R. Maulik, K. Raghavan, B. Lusch, I. Guyon and P. Balaprakash. *AutoDEUQ: Automated Deep Ensemble with Uncertainty Quantification*. 10th Jan. 2022. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03518597>.
- [65] J. Girard-Satabin, A. Varasse, M. Schoenauer, G. Charpiat and Z. Chihani. *DISCO Verification: Division of Input Space into Convex polytopes for neural network verification*. 4th May 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03227439>.
- [66] j. chiaraoni julien, S. Zillner, N. Bertels, P. Bezombes, Y. Bonhomme, H. Amadou-Boubacar, L. Cantat, G. Cattaneo, L. Cordesse, E. Curry, A. Doufene, E. Escorihuela, A. G. Robles, J. A. Gómez, T. Hahn, S. Jost-Dummer, F. Jurie, Z. Mann, J. Mattioli, A. Metzger, Y. Nicolas, X. Perrotton, M. Petkovic, A. Romao, S. Scerri, M. Scholz, H. Schöning, M. Schoenauer, K. Sghiouer, R. Stevens, C. Södergard, H. Tardieu, F. Terrier, E. Tordjman, J.-M. Tran, H.-J. Vink, R. Walsh and D. Zissis. *Franco-German position paper on "Speeding up industrial AI and trustworthiness"*. Secrétariat général pour l’investissement, 1st May 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03488324>.
- [67] T. Sanchez, E. Madison Bray, P. Jobic, J. Guez, A.-C. Letournel, G. Charpiat, J. Cury and F. Jay. *dnadna: DEEP NEURAL ARCHITECTURES FOR DNA - A DEEP LEARNING FRAMEWORK FOR POPULATION GENETIC INFERENCE*. 17th Nov. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03352910>.
- [68] Z. Xu, S. Escalera, I. Guyon, A. Pavao, M. Richard, W.-W. Tu, Q. Yao and H. Zhao. *Codabench: Flexible, Easy-to-Use and Reproducible Benchmarking Platform*. Oct. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03374222>.

### Other scientific publications

- [69] E. Menier, M. A. Bucci, M. Yagoubi and M. Schoenauer. *Complementary Deep - Reduced Order Model*. Paris, France, June 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03608578>.
- [70] M. Videau. ‘Découverte de Politiques Interprétables pour l’Apprentissage par Renforcement via la Programmation Génétique’. Université Paris Dauphine-PSL, 23rd Sept. 2021. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03359238>.

### 12.3 Cited publications

- [71] R. Kusters, D. Misevic, H. Berry, A. Cully, Y. Le Cunff, L. Dandoy, N. Díaz-Rodríguez, M. Ficher, J. Grizou, A. Othmani, T. Palpanas, M. Komorowski, P. Loiseau, C. Moulin-Frier, S. Nanini, D. Quercia, M. Sebag, F. Soulié Fogelman, S. Taleb, L. Tupikina, V. Sahu, J.-J. Vie and F. Wehbi. ‘Interdisciplinary Research in Artificial Intelligence: Challenges and Opportunities’. In: *Frontiers in Big Data 3* (23rd Nov. 2020). DOI: [10.3389/fdata.2020.577974](https://doi.org/10.3389/fdata.2020.577974). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-0311148>.
- [72] F. P. Landes, G. Biroli, O. Dauchot, A. Liu and D. Reichman. ‘Attractive versus truncated repulsive supercooled liquids: The dynamics is encoded in the pair correlation function’. In: *Physical Review E* 101.1 (13th Jan. 2020). DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.101.010602](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.101.010602). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02439295>.

- [73] G. Petrillo, E. Lippiello, F. P. Landes and A. Rosso. ‘The influence of the brittle-ductile transition zone on aftershock and foreshock occurrence’. In: *Nature Communications* 11.1 (15th June 2020). DOI: [10.1038/s41467-020-16811-7](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16811-7). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02942365>.
- [74] R. Bresson, J. Cohen, E. Hüllermeier, C. Labreuche and M. Sebag. ‘Neural Representation and Learning of Hierarchical 2-additive Choquet Integrals’. In: *IJCAI-PRICAI-20 - Twenty-Ninth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Seventeenth Pacific Rim International Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Yokohama, France, 11th July 2020, pp. 1984–1991. DOI: [10.24963/ijcai.2020/275](https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2020/275). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03017078>.
- [75] C. ICUBAM, L. Bonnasse-Gahot, M. Dénès, G. Dulac-Arnold, S. Girgin, F. Husson, V. Iovene, J. Josse, A. Kimmoun, F. Landes, J.-P. Nadal, R. Primet, F. Quintao, P. G. Raverdy, V. Rouvreau, O. Teboul and R. Yurchak. *ICU Bed Availability Monitoring and analysis in the Grand Est region of France during the COVID-19 epidemic*. 25th May 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02620018>.
- [76] C. Adam-Bourdarios, G. Cowan, C. Germain, I. Guyon, B. Kégl and D. Rousseau. ‘How Machine Learning won the Higgs Boson Challenge’. In: *Proc. European Symposium on ANN, CI and ML*. 2016.
- [77] D. J. Amit, H. Gutfreund and H. Sompolinsky. ‘Statistical Mechanics of Neural Networks Near Saturation’. In: *Annals of Physics* 173 (1987), pp. 30–67.
- [78] S. Amrouche, L. Basara, P. Calafiura, D. Emeliyanov, V. Estrade, S. Farrell, C. Germain, V. Vava Gligorov, T. Golling, S. Gorbunov, H. Gray, I. Guyon, M. Hushchyn, V. Innocente, M. Kiehn, M. Kunze, E. Moyses, D. Rousseau, A. Salzburger, A. Ustyuzhanin and J.-R. Vlimant. ‘The Tracking Machine Learning challenge : Throughput phase’. In: *Computing and Software for Big Science* (2021). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03159824>.
- [79] C. Anderson. *The End of Theory: The Data Deluge Makes the Scientific Method Obsolete*. Wired Magazine. 2008. URL: <https://www.wired.com/2008/06/pb-theory/>.
- [80] F. Arceri, F. P. Landes, L. Berthier and G. Biroli. ‘Glasses and aging: A Statistical Mechanics Perspective’. 50 pages, 24 figs. This is an updated version of a chapter initially written in 2009 for the Encyclopedia of Complexity and Systems Science (Springer). Sept. 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02942375>.
- [81] W. Banzhaf, F. D. Francone, R. E. Keller and P. Nordin. *Genetic Programming: An Introduction: On the Automatic Evolution of Computer Programs and Its Applications*. San Francisco, CA, USA: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers Inc., 1998.
- [82] N. Belkhir. ‘Per Instance Algorithm Configuration for Continuous Black Box Optimization’. Theses. Université Paris-Saclay, Nov. 2017.
- [83] N. Belkhir, J. Dréo, P. Savéant and M. Schoenauer. ‘Per instance algorithm configuration of CMA-ES with limited budget’. In: *Proc. ACM-GECCO*. 2017, pp. 681–688.
- [84] I. Bello, B. Zoph, V. Vasudevan and Q. V. Le. ‘Neural Optimizer Search with Reinforcement Learning’. In: *34th ICML*. 2017, pp. 459–468.
- [85] S. Ben-David, J. Blitzer, K. Crammer, A. Kulesza, F. Pereira and J. W. Vaughan. ‘A theory of learning from different domains’. In: *Machine Learning* 79.1 (2018), pp. 151–175. DOI: [10.1007/s10994-009-5152-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-009-5152-4).
- [86] V. Berger and M. Sebag. ‘From abstract items to latent spaces to observed data and back: Compositional Variational Auto-Encoder’. In: *ECML PKDD 2019 - European Conference on Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases*. Würzburg, Germany, Sept. 2019. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02431955>.
- [87] J. Bergstra, R. Bardenet, Y. Bengio and B. Kégl. ‘Algorithms for Hyper-Parameter Optimization’. In: *NIPS* 25. 2011, pp. 2546–2554.
- [88] G. de Bie, G. Peyré and M. Cuturi. ‘Stochastic Deep Networks’. In: *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2019, 9-15 June 2019, Long Beach, California, USA*. Ed. by K. Chaudhuri and R. Salakhutdinov. Vol. 97. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. PMLR, 2019, pp. 1556–1565. URL: <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v97/de-bie19a.html>.

- [89] T. Bonnaire. ‘Cosmic web environments : identification, characterisation, and quantification of cosmological information’. Theses. Université Paris-Saclay, Sept. 2021. URL: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-03389995>.
- [90] T. Bonnaire, N. Aghanim, A. Decelle and M. Douspis. ‘T-ReX: a graph-based filament detection method’. In: *Astronomy and Astrophysics - A&A* 637 (May 2020), A18. DOI: [10.1051/0004-6361/201936859](https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201936859). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02903856>.
- [91] J. Bruna and S. Mallat. ‘Invariant Scattering Convolution Networks’. In: *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.* 35.8 (2013), pp. 1872–1886.
- [92] M. A. Bucci, O. Semeraro, S. Chibbaro, A. Allauzen and L. Mathelin. ‘When deep learning meets ergodic theory’. In: *73rd Annual APS/DFD Meeting*. Chicago / Virtual, United States, Nov. 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03101431>.
- [93] M. Chandorkar. ‘Machine Learning in Space Weather’. Theses. Université of Eindhoven, Nov. 2019. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/tel-02430788>.
- [94] R. Couillet and M. Debbah. *Random matrix methods for wireless communications*. Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- [95] A. D’Amour. *On Multi-Cause Causal Inference with Unobserved Confounding: Counterexamples, Impossibility, and Alternatives*. 2019. arXiv: [1902.10286](https://arxiv.org/abs/1902.10286) [stat.ML].
- [96] S. Dash, A. Yale, I. Guyon and K. P. Bennett. ‘Medical Time-Series Data Generation using Generative Adversarial Networks’. In: *AIME 2020 - International Conference on Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*. Ed. by M. Michalowski and R. Moskovitch. Minneapolis, United States, Aug. 2020, pp. 382–391. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03158549>.
- [97] David Silver et al. ‘Mastering Chess and Shogi by Self-Play with a General Reinforcement Learning Algorithm’. In: *CoRR* abs/1712.01815 (2017). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.01815>.
- [98] A. Decelle, G. Fissore and C. Furtlehner. ‘Spectral dynamics of learning in restricted Boltzmann machines’. In: *EPL (Europhysics Letters)* 119.6 (2017), p. 60001.
- [99] A. Decelle, G. Fissore and C. Furtlehner. ‘Thermodynamics of Restricted Boltzmann Machines and Related Learning Dynamics’. In: *J. Stat. Phys.* 172 (2018), pp. 1576–1608.
- [100] L. Dinh, J. Sohl-Dickstein and S. Bengio. ‘Density estimation using Real NVP’. In: *Int. Conf. on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. 2017.
- [101] B. Donnot. ‘Deep learning methods for predicting flows in power grids : novel architectures and algorithms’. Theses. Université Paris Saclay (COMUE), Feb. 2019. URL: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-02045873>.
- [102] B. Donon, W. Liu, A. Marot, Z. Liu, I. Guyon and M. Schoenauer. ‘Deep Statistical Solvers’. In: *NeurIPS 2020 - 34th Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. Vancouver / Virtuel, Canada, Dec. 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02974541>.
- [103] G. F. Doquet and M. Sebag. ‘Agnostic feature selection’. In: *ECML PKDD 2019 - European Conference on Machine Learning and Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases*. Würzburg, Germany, Sept. 2019. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02436824>.
- [104] T. Duriez, S. L. Brunton and B. R. Noack. *Machine Learning Control – Taming Nonlinear Dynamics and Turbulence*. Springer International Publishing, 2017.
- [105] D. K. Duvenaud, D. Maclaurin, J. Aguilera-Iparraguirre, R. Gómez-Bombarelli, T. Hirzel, A. Aspuru-Guzik and R. P. Adams. ‘Convolutional Networks on Graphs for Learning Molecular Fingerprints’. In: *NIPS*. 2015, pp. 2224–2232.
- [106] T. v. Erven, P. Grunwald and S. de Rooij. ‘Catching up faster by switching sooner: a predictive approach to adaptive estimation with an application to the AIC-BIC dilemma: Catching up Faster’. In: *J. Royal Statistical Society: B* 74.3 (2012), pp. 361–417. DOI: [10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.01025.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9868.2011.01025.x). (Visited on 08/11/2018).



- [107] H. J. Escalante, I. Guyon, S. Escalera, J. Jacques, M. Madadi, X. Baró, S. Ayache, E. Viegas, Y. Güçlütürk, U. Güçlü, M. A. J. van Gerven and R. van Lier. ‘Design of an Explainable Machine Learning Challenge for Video Interviews’. In: *IJCNN 2017 - 30th International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*. Neural Networks (IJCNN), 2017 International Joint Conference on. Anchorage, AK, United States: IEEE, 2017, pp. 1–8. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01668386>.
- [108] H. J. Escalante, H. Kaya, A. A. Salah, S. Escalera, Y. Gucluturk, U. Güçlü, X. Baró, I. Guyon, J. J. Junior, M. Madadi, S. Ayache, E. Viegas, F. Gurpinar, A. S. Wicaksana, C. C. S. Liem, M. A. J. van Gerven and R. van Lier. ‘Explaining First Impressions: Modeling, Recognizing, and Explaining Apparent Personality from Videos’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing* (2020). Accepted at Transaction on Affective Computing. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01991652>.
- [109] H. J. Escalante, W.-W. Tu, I. Guyon, D. L. Silver, E. Viegas, Y. Chen, W. Dai and Q. Yang. ‘AutoML @ NeurIPS 2018 challenge: Design and Results’. In: *The NeurIPS ’18 Competition*. Ed. by Escalera, Sergio, Herbrich and Ralf. Preprint submitted to NeurIPS2018 Volume of Springer Series on Challenges in Machine Learning. Springer Verlag, Mar. 2020, pp. 209–229. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03159764>.
- [110] S. Escalera, X. Baró, H. J. Escalante and I. Guyon. ‘ChaLearn looking at people: A review of events and resources’. In: *2017 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*. 2017, pp. 1594–1601.
- [111] S. Escalera, H. J. Escalante, X. Baró, I. Guyon, M. Madadi, J. Wan, S. Ayache, Y. Güçlütürk and U. Güçlü. ‘Guest Editorial: Image and Video inpainting and denoising’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence* 42.5 (May 2020), pp. 1021–1024. DOI: [10.1109/TPAMI.2020.2971291](https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2020.2971291). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03159859>.
- [112] M. Feurer, A. Klein, K. Eggenberger, J. Springenberg, M. Blum and F. Hutter. ‘Efficient and Robust Automated Machine Learning’. In: *NIPS 28*. 2015, pp. 2962–2970.
- [113] C. Furtlehner and A. Decelle. ‘Cycle-Based Cluster Variational Method for Direct and Inverse Inference’. In: *Journal of Statistical Physics* 164.3 (2016), pp. 531–574.
- [114] C. Furtlehner, M. Sebag and X. Zhang. ‘Scaling analysis of affinity propagation’. In: *Physical Review E* 81.6 (2010), p. 066102.
- [115] Y. Ganin, E. Ustinova, H. Ajakan, P. Germain, H. Larochelle, F. Laviolette, M. Marchand and V. Lempitsky. ‘Domain-Adversarial Training of Neural Networks’. In: *Journal of Machine Learning Research* 17.59 (2016), pp. 1–35.
- [116] K. Garimella, G. D. F. Morales, A. Gionis and M. Mathioudakis. ‘Political Discourse on Social Media: Echo Chambers, Gatekeepers, and the Price of Bipartisanship’. In: *WWW*. ACM, 2018, pp. 913–922.
- [117] J. Giles. ‘Computational social science: Making the links’. In: *Nature - News* 488.7412 (2012), pp. 448–450.
- [118] J. Girard-Satabin, G. Charpiat, Z. Chihani and M. Schoenauer. ‘CAMUS: A Framework to Build Formal Specifications for Deep Perception Systems Using Simulators’. In: *ECAI 2020 - 24th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Santiago de Compostela, Spain, June 2020. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02440520>.
- [119] F. Gonard. ‘Cold-start recommendation : from Algorithm Portfolios to Job Applicant Matching’. Theses. Université Paris-Saclay, May 2018. URL: <https://tel.archives-ouvertes.fr/tel-01825220>.
- [120] F. Gonard, M. Schoenauer and M. Sebag. ‘ASAPV2 and ASAPV3: Sequential optimization of an Algorithm Selector and a Scheduler’. In: *Open Algorithm Selection Challenge 2017*. Vol. 79. Proceedings of Machine Learning Research. 2017, pp. 8–11.
- [121] I. Goodfellow, J. Pouget-Abadie, M. Mirza, B. Xu, D. Warde-Farley, S. Ozair, A. Courville and Y. Bengio. ‘Generative Adversarial Nets’. In: *NIPS 27*. Ed. by Z. Ghahramani, M. Welling, C. Cortes, N. D. Lawrence and K. Q. Weinberger. Curran Associates, Inc., 2014, pp. 2672–2680.
- [122] P. Grunwald. *The Minimum Description Length Principle*. MIT Press, 2007.

- [123] T. Guégan, O. Semeraro, M. A. Bucci, L. Cordier and L. Mathelin. ‘Control by Deep Reinforcement Learning of a separated flow’. In: *73rd Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Fluid Dynamics*. Chicago, United States, Nov. 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03088845>.
- [124] I. Guyon, C. F. Aliferis, G. F. Cooper, A. Elisseeff, J.-P. Pellet, P. Spirtes and A. R. Statnikov. ‘Design and Analysis of the Causation and Prediction Challenge’. In: *WCCI Causation and Prediction Challenge*. JMLR W-CP. 2008, pp. 1–33.
- [125] I. Guyon, K. Bennett, G. Cawley, H. J. Escalante, S. Escalera, T. K. Ho, N. Macia, B. Ray, M. Saeed and A. Statnikov. ‘Design of the 2015 ChaLearn AutoML challenge’. In: *Proc. IJCNN*. IEEE. 2015, pp. 1–8.
- [126] I. Guyon, L. Sun-Hosoya, M. Boullé, H. J. Escalante, S. Escalera, Z. Liu, D. Jajetic, B. Ray, M. Saeed, M. Sebag, A. Statnikov, W.-W. Tu and E. Viegas. ‘Analysis of the AutoML Challenge series 2015-2018’. In: *AutoML: Methods, Systems, Challenges*. Ed. by F. Hutter, L. Kotthoff and J. Vanschore. The Springer Series on Challenges in Machine Learning. Springer Verlag, 2018. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01906197>.
- [127] H. H. Hoos. ‘Programming by Optimization’. In: *Commun. ACM* 55.2 (2012), pp. 70–80.
- [128] D. Hothersall. *History of Psychology*. McGraw-Hill, 2004.
- [129] K. Imai and Z. Jiang. *Discussion of "The Blessings of Multiple Causes" by Wang and Blei*. 2019. arXiv: [1910.06991](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.06991) [stat.ME].
- [130] G. W. Imbens and D. B. Rubin. *Causal Inference for Statistics, Social, and Biomedical Sciences: An Introduction*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [131] D. Kalainathan, O. Goudet, P. Caillou, M. Sebag and P. Tubaro. *Conditions objectives de travail et ressenti des individus : le rôle du management*. Synthèse n. 14 de "La Fabrique de l'Industrie". 2017. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01742592>.
- [132] D. Kingma and M. Welling. ‘Auto-encoding variational Bayes.’ In: *Int. Conf. on Learning Representations (ICLR)*. 2014.
- [133] L. Kotthoff. ‘Algorithm Selection for Combinatorial Search Problems: A Survey’. In: *Data Mining and Constraint Programming: Foundations of a Cross-Disciplinary Approach*. Ed. by Ch. Bessiere et al. Cham: Springer Verlag, 2016, pp. 149–190.
- [134] A. Krizhevsky, I. Sutskever and G. E. Hinton. ‘ImageNet Classification with Deep Convolutional Neural Networks’. In: *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems - Volume 1*. NIPS'12. 2012, pp. 1097–1105.
- [135] D. Lazer, A. Pentland, L. Adamic, S. Aral, A. L. Barabasi, D. Brewer, N. Christakis, N. Contractor, J. Fowler, M. Gutmann, T. Jebara, G. King, M. Macy, D. Roy and M. Van Alstyne. ‘Life in the network: the coming age of computational social science’. In: *Science* 323.5915 (2009), pp. 721–723.
- [136] Y. LeCun. *Deep Learning est mort. Vive Differentiable Programming!* Facebook Post. 2018. URL: <https://www.facebook.com/yann.lecun/posts/10155003011462143>.
- [137] J. Z. Liang, E. Meyerson and R. Miikkulainen. ‘Evolutionary architecture search for deep multitask networks’. In: *Proc. ACM-GECCO*. Ed. by H. E. Aguirre and K. Takadama. ACM, 2018, pp. 466–473. DOI: [10.1145/3205455.3205489](https://doi.org/10.1145/3205455.3205489). URL: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/3205455.3205489>.
- [138] E. Lippiello, G. Petrillo, F. Landes and A. Rosso. ‘Fault Heterogeneity and the Connection between Aftershocks and Afterslip’. In: *Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America* 109.3 (Apr. 2019), pp. 1156–1163. DOI: [10.1785/0120180244](https://doi.org/10.1785/0120180244). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02156407>.
- [139] H. Lipson and J. B. Pollack. ‘Automatic design and manufacture of robotic lifeforms’. In: *Nature Letters* 406 (2000), pp. 974–978.
- [140] Z. Liu, O. Bousquet, A. Elisseeff, S. Escalera, I. Guyon, J. Jacques, A. Pavao, D. Silver, L. Sun-Hosoya, S. Treguer, W.-W. Tu, J. Wang and Q. Yao. ‘AutoDL Challenge Design and Beta Tests-Towards automatic deep learning’. In: *CiML workshop @ NIPS2018*. Montreal, Canada, Dec. 2018. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01906226>.

- [141] Z. Liu and I. Guyon. ‘Asymptotic Analysis of Meta-learning as a Recommendation Problem’. In: *AAAI Workshop on Meta-Learning and MetaDL*. Virtual, Canada, Feb. 2021. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03098180>.
- [142] Z. Liu, Z. Xu, S. Escalera, I. Guyon, J. C. S. Jacques Junior, M. Madadi, A. Pavao, S. Treguer and W.-W. Tu. ‘Towards Automated Computer Vision: Analysis of the AutoCV Challenges 2019’. In: *Pattern Recognition Letters* 135 (July 2020), pp. 196–203. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02386805>.
- [143] Z. Liu, Z. Xu, S. Rajaa, M. Madadi, J. C. S. Jacques, S. Escalera, A. Pavao, S. Treguer, W.-W. Tu and I. Guyon. ‘Towards Automated Deep Learning: Analysis of the AutoDL challenge series 2019’. In: *NeurIPS 2019 - Thirty-third Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems, Competition and Demonstration Track*. Ed. by H. J. Escalante and R. Hadsell. Vol. 123. Vancouver / Virtuel, United States: PMLR, Dec. 2020, pp. 242–252. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03159795>.
- [144] C. Louizos, M. Reisser, T. Blankevoort, E. Gavves and M. Welling. ‘Relaxed Quantization for Discretized Neural Networks’. In: *ICLR*. 2019.
- [145] A. Marot, B. Donnot, C. Romero, L. Veyrin-Forrer, M. Lerousseau, B. Donon and I. Guyon. ‘Learning to run a power network challenge for training topology controllers’. In: *Electric Power Systems Research* 189 (Dec. 2020), p. 106635. DOI: [10.1016/j.epsr.2020.106635](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.epsr.2020.106635). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03159692>.
- [146] A. Marot, B. Donon, I. Guyon and B. Donnot. ‘Learning To Run a Power Network Competition’. In: *CiML Workshop, NeurIPS*. Montréal, Canada, Dec. 2018. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01968295>.
- [147] L. Mescheder, A. Geiger and S. Nowozin. ‘Which Training Methods for GANs do actually Converge?’. In: *35th ICML. International Conference on Machine Learning*. 2018, pp. 3481–3490.
- [148] M. Misir and M. Sebag. ‘Alors: An algorithm recommender system’. In: *Artificial Intelligence* 244 (2017). Published on-line Dec. 2016, pp. 291–314. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01419874>.
- [149] D. Molchanov, A. Ashukha and D. Vetrov. ‘Variational Dropout Sparsifies Deep Neural Networks’. In: *ArXiv e-prints* (Jan. 2017). arXiv: [1701.05369](https://arxiv.org/abs/1701.05369) [stat.ML].
- [150] C. O’Neill. *Weapons of Math Destruction*. Crown Books, 2016.
- [151] E. L. Ogburn, I. Shpitser and E. J. T. Tchetgen. *Comment on "Blessings of Multiple Causes"*. 2019. arXiv: [1910.05438](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.05438) [stat.ME].
- [152] A. van den Oord, S. Dieleman, H. Zen, K. Simonyan, O. Vinyals, A. Graves, N. Kalchbrenner, A. Senior and K. Kavukcuoglu. ‘WaveNet: A Generative Model for Raw Audio’. In: *CoRR* abs/1609.03499 (2016). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1609.03499>.
- [153] A. Pavao, D. Kalainathan, L. Sun-Hosoya, K. Bennett and I. Guyon. ‘Design and Analysis of Experiments: A Challenge Approach in Teaching’. In: *NeurIPS 2019 - 33th Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems*. Vancouver, Canada, Dec. 2019. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02415639>.
- [154] J. Pearl. *Causality: Models, Reasoning, and Inference (2nd edition)*. Cambridge University Press, 2009.
- [155] J. Pearl. ‘Theoretical Impediments to Machine Learning With Seven Sparks from the Causal Revolution’. In: *11th ACM WSDM*. 2018, p. 3.
- [156] G. Pleiss, M. Raghavan, F. Wu, J. M. Kleinberg and K. Q. Weinberger. ‘On Fairness and Calibration’. In: *NIPS*. 2017, pp. 5684–5693.
- [157] M. Raissi. ‘Deep Hidden Physics Models: Deep Learning of Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations’. In: *JMLR* 19 (2018), pp. 1–24.
- [158] H. Rakotoarison, M. Schoenauer and M. Sebag. ‘Automated Machine Learning with Monte-Carlo Tree Search’. In: *IJCAI-19 - 28th International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*. Macau, China: International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence Organization, Aug. 2019, pp. 3296–3303. DOI: [10.24963/ijcai.2019/457](https://doi.org/10.24963/ijcai.2019/457). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02300884>.

- [159] G. Ramírez, E. Villatoro, B. Ionescu, H. J. Escalante, S. Escalera, M. Larson, H. Müller and I. Guyon. ‘Overview of the Multimedia Information Processing for Personality and Social Networks Analysis Contest’. In: *International Conference on Pattern Recognition (ICPR)*. Ed. by T. Tan, J. Kittler and A. Jai. IEEE, 2018, pp. 127–139.
- [160] E. Real, S. Moore, A. Selle, S. Saxena, Y. L. Suematsu, J. T. Q. Le and A. Kurakin. ‘Large-Scale Evolution of Image Classifiers’. In: *34th ICML*. 2017. arXiv: [1703.01041](https://arxiv.org/abs/1703.01041).
- [161] J. R. Rice. ‘The Algorithm Selection Problem’. In: *Advances in Computers* 15 (1976). Ed. by M. Rubinoff and M. C. Yovits, pp. 65–118. DOI: [10.1016/S0065-2458\(08\)60520-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2458(08)60520-3). URL: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0065245808605203>.
- [162] J. Rissanen. *Information and Complexity in Statistical Modeling*. Information Science and Statistics. Springer-Verlag, 2007.
- [163] T. Sanchez, J. Cury, G. Charpiat and F. Jay. ‘Deep learning for population size history inference: Design, comparison and combination with approximate Bayesian computation’. In: *Molecular Ecology Resources* (2020). DOI: [10.1111/1755-0998.13224](https://doi.org/10.1111/1755-0998.13224). URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-02942328>.
- [164] M. Schmidt and H. Lipson. ‘Distilling free-form natural laws from experimental data’. In: *Science* 324.5923 (2009), pp. 81–85.
- [165] O. Semeraro, M. A. Bucci and L. Mathelin. ‘Closed-loop optimal control for shear flows using reinforcement learning’. In: *73rd Annual APS/DFD Meeting*. Chicago, United States, Nov. 2020. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03101416>.
- [166] S. Sigtia, E. Benetos and S. Dixon. ‘An End-to-End Neural Network for Polyphonic Piano Music Transcription’. In: *IEEE/ACM Trans. Audio, Speech & Language Processing* 24.5 (2016), pp. 927–939.
- [167] J. Sirignano and K. Spiliopoulos. ‘DGM: A deep learning algorithm for solving partial differential equations’. In: *Journal of Computational Physics* 375 (2018), pp. 1339–1364. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcp.2018.08.029>.
- [168] I. Stengers. *Another Science Is Possible*. Open Humanities Press, 2013.
- [169] D. H. Stern, R. Herbrich and T. Graepel. ‘Matchbox: large scale online bayesian recommendations’. In: *WWW*. Madrid, Spain: ACM Press, 2010, p. 111. DOI: [10.1145/1526709.1526725](https://doi.org/10.1145/1526709.1526725).
- [170] L. Sun-Hosoya, I. Guyon and M. Sebag. ‘Lessons learned from the AutoML challenge’. In: *Conférence sur l’Apprentissage Automatique 2018*. Rouen, France, June 2018. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01811454>.
- [171] C. Sunstein and R. Thaler. *Nudge: Improving Decisions about Health, Wealth, and Happiness*. Yale University Press, 2008.
- [172] C. Szegedy, W. Zaremba, I. Sutskever, J. Bruna, D. Erhan, I. J. Goodfellow and R. Fergus. ‘Intriguing properties of neural networks’. In: *CoRR* abs/1312.6199 (2013). URL: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.6199>.
- [173] C. Tallec and Y. Ollivier. ‘Unbiased online recurrent optimization’. In: *International Conference On Learning Representation*. Vancouver, Canada, Apr. 2018. URL: <https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01972587>.
- [174] J. Vanschoren, J. N. van Rijn, B. Bischl and L. Torgo. ‘OpenML: networked science in machine learning’. In: *SIGKDD Explorations* 15.2 (2013), pp. 49–60. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1407.7722>.
- [175] Volodymyr Mnih et al. ‘Human-level control through deep reinforcement learning’. In: *Nature* 518.7540 (2015), pp. 529–533. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature14236>.
- [176] J. Wan, S. Escalera, G. Anbarjafari, H. J. Escalante, X. Baró, I. Guyon, M. Madadi, J. Allik, C. Lin and Y. Xie. ‘Results and Analysis of ChaLearn LAP Multi-modal Isolated and Continuous Gesture Recognition, and Real versus Fake Expressed Emotions Challenges’. In: *International Conference on Computer Vision - ICCV 2017*. 2017. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-01677974>.

- [177] Y. Wang and D. M. Blei. *The Blessings of Multiple Causes: A Reply to Ogburn et al. (2019)*. 2019. arXiv: [1910.07320](https://arxiv.org/abs/1910.07320) [stat.ML].
- [178] M. Welling. *Intelligence per Kilowatthour*. Keynote talk @ICML. 2018. URL: <https://icml.cc/Conferences/2018/Schedule?showEvent=1866>.
- [179] F. M. J. Willems, Y. M. Shtarkov and T. J. Tjalkens. ‘The context-tree weighting method: basic properties’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 41.3 (1995), pp. 653–664.
- [180] A. Yale, S. Dash, K. Bhanot, I. Guyon, J. S. Erickson and K. P. Bennett. ‘Synthesizing Quality Open Data Assets from Private Health Research Studies’. In: *BIS 2020 - International Conference on Business Information Systems*. Ed. by W. Abramowicz and G. Klein. Colorado Springs, United States: Springer Verlag, June 2020, pp. 324–335. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03158556>.
- [181] A. Yale, S. Dash, R. Dutta, I. Guyon, A. Pavao and K. P. Bennett. ‘Generation and Evaluation of Privacy Preserving Synthetic Health Data’. In: *Neurocomputing* 416 (Nov. 2020), pp. 244–255. DOI: [10.1016/j.neucom.2019.12.136](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2019.12.136). URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-03158544>.
- [182] X. Zhang, C. Furtlehner, C. Germain-Renaud and M. Sebag. ‘Data Stream Clustering with Affinity Propagation’. In: *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering* 26.7 (2014), p. 1. URL: <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-00862941>.